IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

371 FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO.31140 OF 2017
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 12838 OF 2017
IN FAST/31140/2017

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA
VERSUS
EKNATH KISAN GAT AND ORS
...
Mr. S. S. Dande, AGP for the Appellant/State.
Mr. Mahesh R. Sonwane, Advocate for Respondent Nos.1 to 4.
...

AND
FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO.31143 OF 2017
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 12831 OF 2017
IN FAST/31143/2017

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA
VERSUS
KASHINATH TUKARAM GAT AND ANR
...
Mr. S. S. Dande, AGP for the Appellant/State.
Mr. Mahesh R. Sonwane, Advocate for Respondent Nos.1 and 2.

AND
FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO.31112 OF 2017
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 12829 OF 2017
IN FAST/31112/2017

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA
VERSUS
SHIVAJIRAO SHANKAR GAT AND ORS
...
Mr. S. S. Dande, AGP for the Appellant/State.
Mr. Mahesh R. Sonwane, Advocate for Respondent Nos.1 and 3.

AND
FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO.31131 OF 2017
WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 12840 OF 2017
IN FAST/31131/2017

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA
VERSUS
DASARATH NATHU GAT
...
Mr. S. S. Dande, AGP for the Appellant/State.

AND
FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO.31137 OF 2017
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 12835 OF 2017
IN FAST/31137/2017

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA
VERSUS
BABASAHEB NARAYAN GAT AND ANR
...
Mr. S. S. Dande, AGP for the Appellant/State.

AND
FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO.31134 OF 2017
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 12833 OF 2017
IN FAST/31134/2017

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA
VERSUS
BANSHI BABURAO GAT AND ANR
...
Mr. S. S. Dande, AGP for the Appellant/State.
Mr. Mahesh R. Sonwane, Advocate for Respondent No.1.
...

CORAM : SIDDHESHWAR S. THOMBRE, J.

DATE : 1st OCTOBER, 2026

P.C.:-

CIVIL APPLICATIONS FOR DELAY:-

1. By these applications, the Applicant seeks condonation of

delay in filing first appeals.

2. Considering the averments made in the applications, delay is condone. Accordingly, the applications are allowed.

FIRST APPEALS:-

3. Since the acquisition proceedings pertain to the year 1988, the appeals are heard finally with the consent of the learned Advocates for the respective parties.

4. By the present appeals, the Appellants/State are aggrieved by the judgment and award dated 15.09.2011 passed by the learned 2nd Joint Civil Judge Senior Division, Ahmednagar in LAR Nos.29/1996, 30/1996, 31/1996, 32/1996, 33/1996 and 590/1993.

5. Both the learned Advocates for the respective parties submit that the compensation enhanced by the learned Reference Court does not exceed four times the amount awarded by the SLAO.

6. In fact the State Government vide Government Resolution No.Sankirna-2014/Prak.Kra.4/Bham-1/A-4 dated 03.11.2016 with Corrigendum dated 23.02.2017 and 13.08.2018 to the said Government Resolution, took a decision not to challenge the reference, if compensation is enhanced only upto four times.

7. Therefore, I do not find any reason to intervene with the impugned order. Hence, the present Appeals are dismissed.

8. In view of dismissal of the Appeals, pending Civil Applications, if any, do not survive and are disposed of accordingly.

(SIDDHESHWAR S. THOMBRE, J.)

Tauseef