IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 1577 OF 2026

Tanmay Sathish Jadhav
VERSUS
The State Of Maharashtra

WITH ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 1416 OF 2026

Suraj Yogesh Karodiwal
VERSUS
The State Of Maharashtra

WITH CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 4018 OF 2026
IN ABA/1416/2026

Kailash Babulal Manithe
VERSUS
The State Of Maharashtra And Another

Mr. A. K. Bhosle, Advocate for applicants
Mr. S. P. Joshi, APP for respondent-State

CORAM : R. M. JOSHI, J.
DATE : 22nd OCTOBER, 2026

PER COURT :-

1. As recorded in order dated 19.10.2026, present applications needs to be heard for the reason that no relief has been granted to the applicant protecting his liberty though such relief is granted to the co-accused Suraj Yogesh Karodiwal in Anticipatory Bail Application No. 1416 of 2022. Yesterday, the matter was adjourned in order to giving liberty to the learned Counsel for informant to cause appearance and oppose these applications. Learned Counsel for informant choose not to appear in the

applications. Hence, both applications are decided in absence of the informant.

*** ORDER IN ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 1577 OF 2026 :-**

2. After hearing learned counsel for both sides and when this Court has shown its disinclination to grant any relief to the applicant, learned Counsel for applicant seeks withdrawal of the application.

3. Anticipatory Bail Application No. 1577 of 2026 stands dismissed as withdrawn.

*** ORDER IN ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 1416 OF 2026 :-**

4. Applicant seeks pre-arrest bail in connection with Crime No. 0288 of 2026 registered with jawahar-Nagar Police Station, Dist. Chhatrapati Sambhajinagar, for the offences punishable under Section 118(2) read with Section 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

5. First Information Report indicates that an incident had occurred at about 09:30 PM on 10.07.2026 in which husband of informant was assaulted by the present applicant and co-accused. From the said incident, he sustained grievous injuries.

6. Learned Counsel for applicant submits that no grievous injury is attributable to the present applicant and according to the First

Information Report, same is said to have been caused by the co-accused. He further claims that applicant has no criminal history and pursuant to the order passed by this Court, he has attained police station and co-operated in the investigation.

7. Learned APP opposed grant of any protection to the applicant by referring to the allegations against him in the First Information Report so also statements of eye witnesses coupled with the injury certificate.

8. *Prima facie*, perusal of the First Information Report indicates that the allegations in respect of causing assault on the hands of informant is against co-accused and not against present applicant. Grievous injury is not attributable to the applicant. He already attended police station and co-operated in the investigation. This Court, therefore, finds no justification not to confirm the interim relief. Hence, application stands allowed in terms of interim relief granted by order dated 31.07.2026.

9. Pending application, if any, stands disposed of.

(R. M. JOSHI, J.)

B. S. Joshi