IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

369 FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO.22579 OF 2017
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 9776 OF 2017
IN FAST/22579/2017

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS
VERSUS
SAKHARAM RANGNATH PAWAR
...
Mr. B. A. Shinde, AGP for the Appellant/State.
...

AND
FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO.22601 OF 2017
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 9774 OF 2017
IN FAST/22601/2017

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS
VERSUS
BHIMRAO SHANKAR ELAG
...
Mr. B. A. Shinde, AGP for the Appellant/State.
Mr. Suvidh S. Kulkarni, Advocate for Respondent.

AND
FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO.22592 OF 2017
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 9764 OF 2017
IN FAST/22592/2017

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS
VERSUS
JANARDHAN NAMDEO ZUMBAD AND ORS
...
Mr. B. A. Shinde, AGP for the Appellant/State.
Mr. Hemant U. Dhage, Advocate for Respondent Nos.1 to 3.

AND
FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO. 22612 OF 2017
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 9772 OF 2017

IN FAST/22612/2017

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS
VERSUS
VITHAL SHRIRAM AWATE
...
Mr. B. A. Shinde, AGP for the Appellant/State.
Mr. Suvidh S. Kulkarni, Advocate for Respondent.

AND
FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO. 22615 OF 2017
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 9768 OF 2017
IN FAST/22615/2017

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA
VERSUS
GANESH ANNA ILAG AND ANR
...
Mr. B. A. Shinde, AGP for the Appellant/State.
Mr. Suvidh S. Kulkarni, Advocate for Respondents.
...

CORAM : SIDDHESHWAR S. THOMBRE, J.

DATE : 1st OCTOBER, 2026

P.C.:-

CIVIL APPLICATIONS FOR DELAY:-

1. By these applications, the Applicant seeks condonation of delay in filing first appeals.

2. Considering the averments made in the applications, delay is condone. Accordingly, the applications are allowed.

FIRST APPEALS:-

3. Since the acquisition proceedings pertain to the year 1998,

the appeals are heard finally with the consent of the learned Advocates for the respective parties.

4. By these appeals, the Appellants/State are aggrieved by the following Judgments and awards:-

Sr. No.	FA No.	Date	Court	LAR No.
1	FA/ST/ 22579/2017	14.10.2008	Learned Adhoc District Judge, Jalna	118/2002
2	FA/ST/ 22601/2017	25.02.2009	Learned District Judge-3, Jalna	6/1997
3	FA/ST/ 22592/2017	22.04.2008	Learned District Judge-2, Jalna	573/1998
4	FA/ST/ 22615/2017	22.11.2007	Learned Adhoc District Judge-2, Jalna	07/1997
5	FA/ST/ 22612/2017	10.12.2008	Learned District Judge-4, Jalna	05/1997

5. Both the learned Advocates for the respective parties submit that the compensation enhanced by the learned Reference Court does not exceed four times the amount awarded by the SLAO.

6. In fact the State Government vide Government Resolution No.Sankirna-2014/Prak.Kra.4/Bham-1/A-4 dated 03.11.2016 with Corrigendum dated 23.02.2017 and 13.08.2018 to the said Government Resolution, took a decision not to challenge the reference, if compensation is enhanced only upto four times.

7. Therefore, I do not find any reason to intervene with the impugned orders. Hence, the present Appeals are dismissed.

8. In view of dismissal of the Appeals, pending Civil Applications, if any, do not survive and are disposed of accordingly.

(SIDDHESHWAR S. THOMBRE, J.)

Tauseef