



**IN THE JUDICATURE OF HIGH COURT AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

948 ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 529 OF 2025

Anita Bhushan Patil
VERSUS
The State Of Maharashtra

...
Advocate for Applicant : Senior Counsel Mr.. R. N. Dhorde a/w Mr. S. S. Dudhane i/b
Mr. Dhorde Vikram R.
APP for Respondents-State: Mr. N. B. Patil

...
CORAM : ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.

Dated : April 22, 2025.

PER COURT :-

1. Heard the learned Counsel for the applicant and the learned APP for the respondent-State.
2. The learned Counsel for the applicant submits that it is alleged that the applicant's husband, Bhushan Patil, had requested the informant to invest capital in his company, M/s Nirman Gold Alloys Pvt. Ltd., on the promise that the applicant would transfer shares of the said company to the complainant and also appoint him as a Director of the company. The informant informed Bhushan Patil that he could make available his 16 shops to raise the required funds. Bhushan Patil assured the informant that he would transfer his shares worth Rs.2,30,56,000/- from Nirman Gold Alloys Pvt. Ltd. in favour of the informant within 20 months and also appoint him as a Director in the said company.
3. Pursuant to this understanding, the informant executed a registered sale deed in respect of the said 16 shops in favour of the applicant's husband, Bhushan Patil. However, Mr. Bhushan Patil subsequently availed a loan of Rs.30,00,000/- against the said properties, and due to certain losses, the shops were brought under liquidation proceedings before the NCLT Mr.

Bhushan Patil did not give the promised shares to the informant nor returned the properties to him.

4. It is further alleged in the complaint that a portion of the loan amount, approximately Rs.72,24,140/-, was transferred to the present applicant, and hence, she has been arrayed as an accused in the present crime.

5. *Prima facie*, from the allegations made, it appears that the applicant's husband, Bhushan Patil, is involved in the offence. However, there is no material on record to indicate that the present applicant is *prima facie* involved in any part of the transaction or that she actively participated in deceiving the informant. Mere receipt of money from her husband, without any further involvement, does not *per se* establish her complicity in the alleged offence.

6. List the matter on 18/06/2025. The leaned APP to make submission on the returnable date.

7. Interim protection shall continue until the next date.

(ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.)

vj gawade/-.