IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

915 CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 67 OF 2019
WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 789 OF 2019
IN REVN/67/2019

MANJUSHA SHAM NALAWADE

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR

...

Shri Z.H. Farooqui, advocate h/f Shri Gaware Niteen V., Advocate for the Applicant.

Shri C.V. Bhadane, APP for the Respondent/State.

Shri P.B. Joshi, Advocate h/f Shri Ajit M. Gholap, advocate for respondent No.2.

…

AND
916 CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 68 OF 2019
WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 790 OF 2019
IN REVN/68/2019

MANJUSHA SHAM NALAWADE

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR

...

Shri Z.H. Farooqui, advocate h/f Shri Gaware Niteen V., Advocate for the Applicant.

Shri C.V. Bhadane, APP for the Respondent/State.

Shri P.B. Joshi, Advocate h/f Shri Ajit M. Gholap, advocate for respondent No.2.

…

CORAM : SUSHIL M. GHODESWAR, J.

DATE : 08 October 2026

P. C. :-

1. Heard the learned advocates appearing for the respective parties.

2. In Criminal Revision Application No.67/2019, the applicant has challenged the judgment and order dated 01.01.2016 passed by the learned JMFC, Ahmednagar, in STC No.1929/2014 whereby, the applicant was convicted and sentenced for the offence punishable under Section 138 r/w Section 143 of the Negotiable Instruments Act. This judgment and order is maintained in Criminal Appeal No.15/2016 passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Ahmednagar, vide the judgment and order dated 05.02.2019. In Criminal Revision Application No.68/2019, the applicant has challenged the similar judgments and orders with same dates, but having different case numbers, namely, STC No.1421/2014 and Criminal Appeal No.14/2016.

3. The learned advocate for the applicant has tendered the copies of the settlement deeds dated 28.09.2026 between the applicant/ accused and the complainant. The settlement deeds are

signed by the applicant (Manjusha Sham Nalawade) and respondent No.2/ complainant (Vaishali Ashok Lute) and their respective advocates. The said settlement deeds are taken on record and marked as 'X' for identification in the respective criminal revision applications.

4. As per the terms of the said settlement deed, the complainant stated that she has received the entire amount of compensation and nothing remains due and payable to her by the applicant/ accused. The complainant also stated that no amount is lying in any Court to be withdrawn. The complainant has no objection for allowing these revision applications, setting aside the conviction and acquitting the applicant.

5. In view of the above and since the complainant has no objection as she has received the entire amount and the parties have settled the dispute, both the Criminal Revision Applications are allowed in terms of the respective settlement deed dated 28.09.2026. The impugned judgments and orders are quashed and set aside. The applicant is acquitted of the said offences. The bail bond, if any, stands cancelled and the surety, if any, stands discharged. The record and proceedings be sent to the concerned

Court.

6. Both the Criminal Revision Applications are, accordingly, disposed of.

kps (SUSHIL M. GHODESWAR, J.)