IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

R/CRIMINAL MISC.APPLICATION (FOR REGULAR BAIL - BEFORE CHARGESHEET) NO. 24705 of 2026

==========

RAMESH DANABHAI VAGHMASHI

Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT

==========

Appearance:
MR GAURAV S THUMMAR(13022) for the Applicant(s) No. 1
MR ROHAN SHAH APP for the Respondent(s) No. 1
==========

CORAM:**HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HASMUKH D. SUTHAR**

Date : 04/11/2026

ORDER

[1.0] **RULE.** Learned APP waives service of rule for the respondent-State.

[2.0] The present application is filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short "BNSS") for regular bail in connection with FIR being **C.R. No.11213010260535 of 2026** registered with **Dhoraji Police Station, Dist.Rajkot** for the offences punishable under Sections 308(5) and 351(3) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (for short "BNS") AND Sections 40, 42(a), 42(d) AND 42(e) of Gujarat Money Lenders Act, 2011.

[3.0] Learned advocate appearing on behalf of the applicant submits that applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the offence and now nothing is required to be recovered or discovered. He therefore submits that, considering the nature of the offence, the applicant may be enlarged on regular bail by imposing suitable conditions.

[4.0] Learned APP appearing on behalf of the respondent-State has opposed the present application and requested to dismiss the present application for regular bail looking to the nature and gravity of the offence.

[5.0] While granting bail, the Court has to consider the involvement of the accused in the alleged offence, the jurisdiction to grant bail has to be exercised on the basis of the well settled principles having regard to the facts and circumstances of each case and the following factors are to be taken into consideration while considering an application for bail: (i) the nature of accusation and the severity of the punishment and the nature of the materials relied upon by the prosecution; (ii) reasonable apprehension of tampering with the witnesses and threat to the complainant or the witnesses; (iii) reasonable possibility of securing the presence of the accused at the time of trial or the likelihood of his abscondence; (iv) character behaviour and standing of the accused and the circumstances which are peculiar to the accused; (v) larger interest of the public or the State and similar other considerations are required to be considered.

[6.0] I have heard the learned advocates appearing on behalf of the respective parties and perused the investigation papers. Following aspects have been considered:

(1) The allegation against the applicant is that he used to lend the money at the higher rate of 10% and he has extorted an amount of Rs.12.50 lakhs against the lending an amount of Rs.10/- Lakhs. Further, it is

alleged that he has asked to pay randsome of Rs.4/- Lakhs from the complainant without any license and in this regard complaint is registered. Further, he has received 28 different blank cheques from the witnesses and the complainant.

(2) Learned APP, on instructions of Investigating Officer present in the Court today, states that substantial part of investigation is over and insofar as 28 cheques are concerned, the complainant has filed undertaking before this Court, which is taken on record, to the effect that the complainant shall not use or utilise any blank cheque in any manner or initiate any kind of proceedings, which are in custody of the Investigating Officer.

(3) Applicant is behind the bars since 30.09.2026;

(4) There is nothing to be recovered or discovered from the applicant;

(5) Though the applicant is having past antecedent, he is presumed to be innocent till proven guilty;

(6) Obviously commencement and conclusion of trial will take some time;

[7.0] This Court has also taken into consideration the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of **Sanjay Chandra vs. Central Bureau of Investigation** reported in

[2012]1 SCC 40 as well as in the case of **Gudikanti Narasimhulu And Ors vs. Public Prosecutor, High Court of Andhra Pradesh** reported in **(1978)1 SCC 240.** Obviously, the conclusion of trial will take time and keeping the accused behind the bars is nothing but amounts to pre-trial conviction and therefore, considering the celebrated principle of bail jurisprudence is that *"bail is a rule and jail is exception"* as well as the concept of personal liberty guaranteed under Article 21 of the Constitution of India, present application deserves consideration.

[8.0] In the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the nature of the allegations made against the applicant in the FIR, without discussing the evidence in detail, *prima facie*, this Court is of the opinion that this is a fit case to exercise the discretion and enlarge the applicant on regular bail. Hence, the present application is allowed. The applicant is ordered to be released on regular bail in connection with FIR being **FIR being C.R. No.11213010260535 of 2026** registered with **Dhoraji Police Station, Dist.Rajkot** on executing a personal bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty-five Thousand only) with one surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court and subject to the conditions that he shall;

(a) not take undue advantage of liberty or misuse liberty;

(b) not act in a manner injurious to the interest of the prosecution & shall not obstruct or hamper the police investigation and shall not to play mischief with the evidence collected or yet to be collected by

the police;

(c) surrender passport, if any, to the Trial Court within a week;

(d) not leave the territory of State of Gujarat without prior permission of the Trial Court concerned;

(e) furnish the *copy of Aadhaar card, email ID/contact number / permanent and present address of his residence* to the Investigating Officer and also to the Court at the time of execution of the bond and shall not change the *residence and contact number/SIM card* without prior permission of Trial Court;

(g) not to indulge in any illegal activity failing which learned trial Court shall issue warrant and cancel the bail of the applicant.

(h) he shall not utilise directly or indirectly any of the cheque of the witnesses or the complainant, in any manner, nor shall file any proceeding qua those cheques.

[9.0] The authorities will release the applicant only if he is not required in connection with any other offence for the time being. If breach of any of the above conditions is committed, the Sessions Judge concerned will be free to issue warrant or take appropriate action in the matter.

[10.0] Bail bond to be executed before the lower Court having jurisdiction to try the case. It will be open for the concerned Court to delete, modify and/or relax any of the above conditions, in accordance with law.

[11.0] At the trial, the trial Court shall not be influenced by the observations of preliminary nature qua the

evidence at this stage made by this Court while enlarging the applicant on bail.

[12.0] Rule is made absolute to the aforesaid extent. Direct service is permitted.

(HASMUKH D. SUTHAR,J)

MISHRA AMIT V.

Original copy of this order has been signed by the Hon'ble Judge.
Digitally signed by: AMIT VISHNUPRASAD MISHRA(HC00187), Principal Pvt. Secretary, at High Court of Gujarat on 04/11/2026 16:38:14