IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD
R/CRIMINAL MISC.APPLICATION (FOR SUCCESSIVE REGULAR BAIL - AFTER CHARGESHEET) NO. 21934 of 2026

==========

JAYDEV DASRATHBHAI PATEL
Versus
STATE OF GUJARAT

==========

Appearance:
MR RJ GOSWAMI(1102) for the Applicant(s) No. 1
MR PRADIP K VALERA(13303) for the Respondent(s) No. 1
MR VIMAL A PUROHIT(5049) for the Respondent(s) No. 1
MR YUVRAJ BRAHMBHATT, APP for the Respondent(s) No. 1

==========

CORAM:**HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HASMUKH D. SUTHAR**

Date : 22/10/2026
ORDER

[1.0] RULE. Learned APP waives service of rule for the respondent-State.

[2.0] The present application is filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short "BNSS") for regular bail in connection with **FIR being C.R. No. 11216001260411 of 2026 registered with Adalaj Police Station, Gandhinagar,** for the offences under Sections 318(3), 319(2), 61(2), 336(2), 336(3), 338, 340(2) of the BNS .

[3.0] Learned advocate appearing on behalf of the applicant submits that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the offence. Nothing is required to be recovered or discovered. He therefore submits that, considering the nature of the offence, the applicant may be enlarged on regular bail by imposing suitable conditions.

[4.0] Learned APP appearing on behalf of the respondent-State has opposed the present application and requested to dismiss the present application for regular bail on the ground that the

applicant is very much involved in the present offence and considering the gravity of offence, no case is made out to entertain present bail application. Therefore, present application does not deserve consideration.

[4.1] The learned advocate for the applicant has opposed the present application and submitted that, considering the gravity of the offence, no case is made out for entertaining the present application. In this regard, he has filed an affidavit, which is taken on record.

[5.0] While granting bail, the Court has to consider the involvement of the accused in the alleged offence, the jurisdiction to grant bail has to be exercised on the basis of the well settled principles having regard to the facts and circumstances of each case and the following factors are to be taken into consideration while considering an application for bail: (i) the nature of accusation and the severity of the punishment and the nature of the materials relied upon by the prosecution; (ii) reasonable apprehension of tampering with the witnesses and threat to the complainant or the witnesses; (iii) reasonable possibility of securing the presence of the accused at the time of trial or the likelihood of his abscondence; (iv) character behaviour and standing of the accused and the circumstances which are peculiar to the accused; (v) larger interest of the public or the State and similar other considerations are required to be considered.

[6.0] I have heard the learned advocates appearing on behalf of the respective parties and perused the investigation papers.

Following aspects have been considered:

(1) Investigation is over and charge-sheet is filed;

(2) Applicant is behind the bar since 29.07.2026;

(3) There is nothing to be recovered or discovered from the applicant;

(4) Offence is triable by JMFC Court and none of the offence alleged is punishable with life sentence or death penalty ;

(5) The present applicant is not named in the FIR; however, his name surfaced during the course of the investigation. It is alleged that the present applicant impersonated the mother-in-law of the original landowner, namely, Jagrutiben, and filed an objection against the issuance of the Patta Grant Certificate in respect of the property. Except for raising the objection under an assumed identity, no other role is attributed to the present applicant. The case is based on documentary evidence.

(6) No past antecedent;

(7) Prosecution has failed to point out the circumstances to continue or prolong his incarceration;

(8) Obviously commencement and conclusion of trial will take its own time.

[7.0] This Court has also taken into consideration the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of **Sanjay Chandra vs. Central Bureau of Investigation reported in [2012]1 SCC 40 as well as in the case of Gudikanti Narasimhulu And Ors vs. Public Prosecutor, High Court of Andhra Pradesh reported in (1978)1 SCC 240**. Obviously, the conclusion of trial

will take time and keeping the accused behind the bars is nothing but amounts to pre-trial conviction and therefore, considering the celebrated principle of bail jurisprudence is that "bail is a rule and jail is exception" as well as the concept of personal liberty guaranteed under Article 21 of the Constitution of India, present application deserves consideration.

[8.0] In the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the nature of the allegations made against the applicant/s in the FIR, without discussing the evidence in detail, prima facie, this Court is of the opinion that this is a fit case to exercise the discretion and enlarge the applicant/s on regular bail. Hence, the present application is **allowed**. The applicant/s is/are ordered to be released on regular bail in connection with **FIR being C.R. No. 11216001260411 of 2026 registered with Adalaj Police Station, Gandhinagar,** on executing a personal bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty-five Thousand only) with one surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court and subject to the conditions that he/she/they shall;

(a) not take undue advantage of liberty or misuse liberty;
(b) not act in a manner injurious to the interest of the prosecution & shall not obstruct or hamper the police investigation and shall not to play mischief with the evidence collected or yet to be collected by the police;
(c) surrender passport, if any, to the Trial Court within a week;
(d) not leave the State of Gujarat without prior permission of the Trial Court concerned;
(e) mark presence before the concerned Police Station once in every month for a period of six months between 11.00 a.m.

and 2.00 p.m.;

(f) furnish the ***Aadhaar card, email ID/present address of his residence*** to the Investigating Officer and also to the Court at the time of execution of the bond and shall not change the ***residence/contact number*** without prior permission of Trial Court;

(g) not to indulge in any illegal activity failing which learned trial Court shall issue warrant and cancel the bail of the applicant.

[9.0] The authorities will release the applicant only if he/they is/are not required in connection with any other offence for the time being. If breach of any of the above conditions is committed, the Sessions Judge concerned will be free to issue warrant or take appropriate action in the matter.

[10.0] Bail bond to be executed before the lower Court having jurisdiction to try the case. It will be open for the concerned Court to delete, modify and/or relax any of the above conditions, in accordance with law.

[11.0] At the trial, the trial Court shall not be influenced by the observations of preliminary nature qua the evidence at this stage made by this Court while enlarging the applicant/applicants on bail.

[12.0] Rule is made absolute to the aforesaid extent. Direct service is permitted.

(HASMUKH D. SUTHAR,J)

ALI

Original copy of this order has been signed by the Hon'ble Judge.
Digitally signed by: ISTAYAK ALI(HC01093), PRIVATE SECRETARY, at High Court of Gujarat on 22/10/2026 14:40:00