IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

R/CRIMINAL MISC.APPLICATION (FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL) NO. 19067 of 2026

==========

RANABHAI BACHUBHAI LAKHANI

Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT

==========

Appearance:
MR. NILESHKUMAR MANJIBHAI VAGHASIA(11473) for the Applicant(s) No. 1
RAFIK LOKHANDWALA(5590) for the Applicant(s) No. 1
MR SAGAR D VAGHASIYA(13308) for the Respondent(s) No. 1
MS MONALI H. BHATT, APP for the Respondent(s) No. 1

==========

CORAM:**HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE SANJEEV J.THAKER**

Date : 26/11/2026

ORAL ORDER

1. By way of this application under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (*for short, "BNSS"*), the applicant has prayed for anticipatory bail in the event of arrest in connection with the FIR being C.R. No.11210065260310 of 2026, registered with Utran Police Station, District: Surat for the offences punishable under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 120(b, 114 of the Indian Penal Code.

2. *Rule.* Learned Additional Public Prosecutor waives service of notice of rule for respondent – State of Gujarat.

3. Learned advocate for the applicant submits that the

nature of allegations are such that custodial interrogation at this stage is not necessary. It is further submitted that the applicant will keep himself available during the course of investigation and trial also and will not flee from justice.

3.1 The learned advocate for the applicant further states that the applicant shall abide by all the conditions that may be imposed while granting anticipatory bail to the applicant. Accordingly, it is urged that this application may be allowed and to grant the anticipatory bail to the applicant.

4. As against this, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the respondent – State has opposed grant of anticipatory bail looking to the nature and gravity of the offence and requested not to entertain this application.

5. Having heard the learned advocates appearing for the parties and perusing the papers available on record, it is incumbent upon the Court to exercise its discretion judiciously, cautiously and strictly in compliance with the basic principles laid down in plethora of decisions of the Apex Court on the point. It is well settled that, among other circumstances, the factors to be borne in mind while considering an application for bail are (i) the nature and gravity of the accusation; (ii) the antecedents of the applicant

including the fact as to whether he has previously undergone imprisonment on conviction by a Court in respect of any cognizable offence; (iii) the possibility of the applicant to flee from justice; and (iv) where the accusation has been made with the object of injuring or humiliating the applicant by having him so arrested. Though at the stage of granting bail an elaborate examination of evidence and detailed reasons touching the merits of the case, which may prejudice the case of accused, should be avoided. However, prima facie, following aspects have been taken into consideration:

a) This Court has taken into consideration the fact that the present applicant has filed an undertaking that he is ready and willing to transfer an amount of Rs.1,00,00,000/- (one crore), to the complainant within a period of 120 days, the said undertaking is voluntarily filed by the applicant and is taken on record;

b) the role attributed to the present applicant is of opening a bank account in the name of the complainant and siphoning of certain amount which were received as insurance claim to the complainant;

c) this Court has also taken into consideration the fact that the present applicant is the father-in-law of the complainant

and the family dispute qua the present applicant has been resolved to the said extent;

d) in view of the same, the present applicant to transfer an amount of Rs.1,00,00,000/- (one crore) to the complainant within 120 days from the date of receipt of copy of this order;

e) this Court has also taken into consideration the affidavit filed by the complainant, the said affidavit is taken on record, wherein the complainant has stated that she has no objection if the applicant is granted bail

f) the applicant has no past antecedents;

g) the learned advocate for the applicant has assured that the applicant will not flee from justice and would be available during investigation as well as the trial.

6. Considering the aforesaid aspects and the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of *Siddharam Satlingappa Mhetre v. State of Maharashtra and Others, reported in (2011) 1 SCC 6941*, wherein the Hon'ble Apex Court reiterated the law laid down by the Constitution Bench in the case of *Shri Gurubaksh Singh Sibbia & Others,*

reported in (1980) 2 SCC 665 and also the decision in the case of *Sushila Aggarwal v. State (NCT of Delhi), reported in (2020) 5 SCC 1*, the Court is inclined to allow the present application.

7. In the result, this application is allowed by directing that in the event of arrest/ appearance of the applicant in connection with the above-referred FIR, the applicant shall be released on bail on furnishing a personal bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with one surety of like amount on the following conditions that applicant:

(a) shall cooperate with the investigation and make available for interrogation whenever required;

(b) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the fact of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer;

(c) shall not obstruct or hamper the police investigation and not to play mischief with the evidence collected or yet to be collected by the police;

d) shall abide by the undertaking filed before this Court and in case the said amount is not transferred, the complainant can approach this Court for Cancellation of the bail;

(e) shall remain present at the concerned Police Station on **03.12.2026** between 11.00 a.m. and 2.00 p.m.;

(f) shall at the time of execution of bond, furnish the address to the investigating officer and the court concerned and shall not change residence till the final disposal of the case till further orders;

(g) shall not leave India without the permission of the Court and if having passport shall deposit the same before the trial Court within a week;

(h) It is open to the police or the investigating agency or complainant to move the learned trial Court for a direction under Section 483(2) of the BNSS to arrest the accused, in the event of violation of any term, such as absconding, non-cooperating during investigation, evasion, intimidation or inducement to witnesses with a view to influence outcome of the investigation or trial, etc.

7.1 At the trial, the Trial Court shall not be influenced by the *prima facie* observations made by this Court while considering the bail application.

8. It is made clear that this order of anticipatory bail does not in any manner limit or restrict the rights or duties of the police or investigative agency to investigate into the charges against the applicant who is granted pre-arrest bail.

9. Rule is made absolute to the aforesaid extent. Direct service is permitted.

(SANJEEV J.THAKER,J)

URIL RANA

Original copy of this order has been signed by the Hon'ble Judge.
Digitally signed by: RANA URIL K(HC01406), PRIVATE SECRETARY, at High Court of Gujarat on 26/11/2026 14:56:27