

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10962 of 2020

With

R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 8516 of 2020

With

R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 8527 of 2020

With

R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 8544 of 2020

With

R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 8565 of 2020

With

R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 8690 of 2020

With

R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 9671 of 2020

With

R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10205 of 2020

=====

CHAUDHRI MAN JAYESHKUMAR MINOR THROUGH HIS NATURAL
GUARDIAN/FATHER PATEL JAYESHKUMAR PARAGBHAI & ANR.

Versus

UNION OF INDIA & ANR.

=====

Appearance:

MR HARSHIT S TOLIA(2708) for the Petitioner(s) No. 1,2

MR HARSHEEL D SHUKLA(6158) for the Respondent(s) No. 2

RULE NOT RECD BACK for the Respondent(s) No. 1

=====

CORAM:HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NIRZAR S. DESAI

Date : 10/03/2026

ORAL ORDER

1. Learned advocate Mr. Harsheel Shukla for respondent No. 2 states that in this petition, the petitioners were seeking admission in STD VI as per State list in OBC category however no relief was granted in the interregnum period and the matter has remained pending throughout for 6 years since these

petitions are of the year 2020. According to learned advocate Mr. Harsheel Shukla for respondent No. 2 all the petitioners must have passed the STD VI since the petitioners were seeking admission in STD VI at the relevant point of time in the year 2020 and hence the petitions have become infructuous.

2. Learned advocate Mr. Parth Tolia and learned advocate Mr. Vijay Nagesh for the petitioners have stated that despite their best efforts they could not avail the latest status of the petitioners and therefore, if this Court is disposing of the petitions on the basis of submissions made by learned advocate Mr. Shukla for respondent No. 2, in that case liberty may be reserved in favour of petitioners to revive the petition.

3. Considering the fact that there is a probability that the petitioners are seeking admission in STD VI and by now the petitions would have become infructuous the request to revive the petitions in case of difficulty was not opposed by learned advocate Mr. Shukla for respondent No. 2. Accordingly, all the petitions are disposed of as having become infructuous by afflux of time however, liberty is reserved in favour of the petitioners to prefer a simple application for revival of the petitions if the cause survives.

(NIRZAR S. DESAI,J)

SHRIJIT PILLAI

Original copy of this order has been signed by the Hon'ble Judge.
Digitally signed by: PILLAI SHRIJIT P(HC01400), PRIVATE SECRETARY, at High Court of Gujarat on 10/03/2026 18:17:13