

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

R/CRIMINAL MISC.APPLICATION (FOR SUCCESSIVE REGULAR BAIL -
AFTER CHARGESHEET) NO. 8586 of 2026

=====

DEVABHAI KARSHANBHAI PARMAR

Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT

=====

Appearance:

MR JIGAR L PATEL(11596) for the Applicant(s) No. 1
MR TRUPESH KATHERIYA ADDL. PUBLIC PROSECUTOR for the
Respondent(s) No. 1

=====

CORAM:HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NIKHIL S. KARIEL

Date : 22/04/2026

ORAL ORDER

1. Leave to amend.
2. Heard learned advocate Mr.Jigar Patel appearing on behalf of the applicant and learned Additional Public Prosecutor Mr.Trupesh Katheriya appearing on behalf of the respondent-State.
3. **Rule.** Learned APP waives service of rule on behalf of the respondent-State.
4. The applicant has filed this application under Section 483

of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for enlarging the applicant on Regular Bail in connection with FIR being **C.R. No.11211045260012 of 2026 registered with Sayla Police Station, District: Surendranagar** for the offence punishable under Sections 8(b), 8(c), 20(a)(i), 20(b)(ii)(C) of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act.

5. Learned advocate for the applicant would submit that considering the role attributed to the applicant, and nature of the allegation levelled, the applicant may be enlarged on regular bail. It is further submitted that since the charge-sheet is filed no useful purpose would be served by keeping the applicant in jail for indefinite period. It is further contended that the applicant is ready and willing to abide by all the conditions that may be imposed by this Court if released on bail.

6. As against the same, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent – State has vehemently objected to the grant of regular bail. Learned APP has submitted that looking to the nature of offence and the role attributed to the present applicant as coming out from the charge-sheet, this Court may not exercise the discretion in favour of the

applicant and the application may be dismissed.

7. I have heard learned advocates appearing on behalf of the respective parties and perused the papers. Following aspects are considered:-

- i. Allegation being that the applicant was found in possession of contraband - Cannabis weighing around 53.150 kilograms.
- ii. As per the investigation papers, it would appear that the present applicant had grown the Cannabis plants and before they had become matured, the plants were removed by the present applicant, while he was planning to dispose of the same, he had been apprehended by the police officials.
- iii. It would also appear that the plants were weighed, without separating the stems, roots and the flowering tops and whereas, it would also appear that the plants, were not old enough to have matured.
- iv. It also appears that the present applicant is in custody since 08.01.2026 and charge-sheet having been filed and the applicant does not have any antecedents whatsoever, this Court is inclined to grant bail.

This Court has taken into consideration the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of **Sanjay Chandra v. Central Bureau of Investigation** reported in [2012] 1 SCC 40.

8. In the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the nature of the allegations made against the applicant in the First Information Report, without discussing the evidence in detail, prima facie, this Court is of the opinion that this is a fit case to exercise the discretion and enlarge the applicant on regular bail.

9. Hence, the present application is allowed. The applicant is ordered to be released on bail in connection with F.I.R. registered as **C.R. No.11211045260012 of 2026** registered with **Sayla Police Station, District: Surendranagar**, on executing a bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) with one surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court and subject to the conditions that he shall;

[a] not take undue advantage of liberty or misuse liberty;

[b] not act in a manner injurious to the interest of the prosecution;

[c] surrender passport, if any, to the lower court within a

week;

[d] not leave the State of Gujarat without prior permission of the Sessions Court concerned;

[e] furnish the present address of residence to the I.O. and also to the Court at the time of execution of the bond and shall not change the residence without prior intimation to the I.O.;

[f] mark presence once in a month for a period of six months before the concerned police station.

10. The Authorities will release the applicant only if he is not required in connection with any other offence for the time being. If breach of any of the above conditions is committed, the Sessions Court concerned will be free to take appropriate action in the matter.

11. Bail bond to be executed before the lower court having jurisdiction to try the case. It will be open for the concerned Court to delete, modify and/or relax any of the above conditions in accordance with law.

12. At the stage of trial, the trial court shall not be

influenced by any observations of this Court which are of preliminary nature made at this stage, only for the purpose of considering the application of the applicant for being released on regular bail.

13. The application is allowed in the aforesaid terms. Rule is made absolute to the aforesaid extent. Direct service is permitted.

(NIKHIL S. KARIEL,J)

NAIR SMITA V./23-DB

Original copy of this order has been signed by the Hon'ble Judge.
Digitally signed by: SMITA VINU NAIR(HC00186), PRINCIPAL PRIVATE SECRETARY, at High Court of Gujarat on 23/04/2026 10:54:12