IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

R/CIVIL APPLICATION (FOR CONDONATION OF DELAY) NO. 2175 of 2025
In F/FIRST APPEAL/10896/2025

==========

CHIRAG DAMJIBHAI SAVALIYA
Versus
DHANJIBHAI BACHUBHAI KHANT & ORS.

==========

Appearance:
MR NISHIT A BHALODI(9597) for the applicant (s) No. 1
MR MANDEEP SINGH SALUJA(8791) for the Respondent(s) No. 3
MR JM BAROT(143) for the Respondent(s) No. 2
UNSERVED EXPIRED (R) for the Respondent(s) No. 1

==========

CORAM:**HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HASMUKH D. SUTHAR**

Date : 09/02/2026
ORAL ORDER

[1.0] This application is filed under Section 5 of the Limitation Act for condonation of delay of 1987 days caused in filing the First Appeal.

[2.0] I have heard learned advocates appearing for the respective parties.

[3.0] It is submitted by the learned advocate for the applicant that the applicant could not file the First Appeal in timely fashion because of the reasons mentioned in the application and therefore, has requested to condone the delay caused in filing the First Appeal.

[4.0] Considering the submissions made by the learned advocate for the applicant, the applicant has mentioned sufficient cause for condonation of delay. The primary function of the Court is to

adjudicate the dispute between the parties and to advance substantial justice. Rules of limitation are not meant to destroy the rights of parties. The Court is aware of the fact that denial to condone the delay would mean to dismiss the First Appeal at threshold and there is no presumption that the delay caused by the applicant s is deliberate. In view thereof, the words 'sufficient cause' under Section 5 of the Limitation Act should receive a liberal consideration so as to advance substantial justice. Even reason stated appears to be bonafide and genuine. There is no smack of malafide or dilatory tactics on the part of the applicant. Further, it is apposite to refer to the decision of the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of **N. Balakrishnan v. N. Krishnamurthy** reported in **(1998) 7 SCC 123.**

[5.0] In view of the above, the delay of 80 days as explained in memo of the application is condoned.

Registry to show name of Mr. Mandeep Singh Saluja in First Appeal as learned advocate appearing for respondent No.3.

(HASMUKH D. SUTHAR, J.)

Ajay

Original copy of this order has been signed by the Hon'ble Judge.
Digitally signed by: AJAY CHANDRAN MENON(HC00939), PRINCIPAL PRIVATE SECRETARY, at High Court of Gujarat on 09/02/2026 15:12:20