

1
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH
AT JABALPUR**

**BEFORE
HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE VISHAL DHAGAT
ON THE 29th OF JANUARY, 2024**

WRIT PETITION No. 25466 of 2003

BETWEEN:-

- 1. P.N. SAXENA S/O LATE S.L. SAXENA, AGE 42 YEARS, ASSTT. GRADE-II, DEPARTMENT OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS, BHOPAL.**
 - 2. MAHENDRA SONONE, S/O M.S. SONONE, AGE 38 YEARS, SECTION OFFICER,**
 - 3. RAJESH GUPTA, S/O SHRI R.D. GUPTA, AGED 36 YEARS, PERSONNEL SECRETARY.**
 - 4. S.K. TIWARI, S/O LATE G.P. TIWARI, AGED 45 YEARS, ASSTT. GRADE-II,**
 - 5. DHARAMCHAND PATEL S/O AMARCHAND PATEL, AGED 40 YEARS, ASSTT GRADE-II.**
 - 6. S.K. DWIVEDI S/O C.M. DWIVEDI, AGED 45 YEARS, ASSTT. GRADE-II.**
 - 7. AVON KMAR DHURVE S/O LATE B.S. DHURVE, AGED 22 YEARS, ASSISTANT GRADE-II.**
 - 8. JANAK SINGH S/O NANULAL AGED 36 YEARS, ASSISTANT GRADE-III.**
 - 9. NAMDEV DIGRASE, S/O SHRI RAJARAMNI DIGRASE, AGED 33 YEARS, ASSISTANT GRADE-III.**
 - 10. KU. CHHAYA LONARE D/O PRABHUDAS LONARE, AGED 31 YEARS, STENO TYPIST.**
 - 11. RAVINDRA MAHADIK S/O S.Y. MAHADIK, AGED 42 YEARS, ASSTT. GRADE-I.**
 - 12. M.K. RAJONE S/O B.L. RAJONE, AGED 32 YEARS, ASSTT. GRADE-I.**
 - 13. HALKU SINGH PANDRO S/O H.L.PANDRO, AGED 29 YEARS, L.D.C.**
- ALL EMPLOYEES BELONG TO OFFICE OF THE PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS, MADHYA PRADESH, BHOPAL, NEAR OLD VIDHAN SABHA BHAWAN, BHOPAL.**

.....PETITIONERS

(BY SHRI K.S. WADHWA - ADVOCATE)

AND

1. CHIEF SECRETARY, STATE OF M.P. VALLABH BHAWAN, BHOPAL (MADHYA PRADESH)
2. STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH THROUGH PRINCIPAL SECRETARY PARLIAMENTARY DEPARTMENT, BHOPAL (MADHYA PRADESH)
3. BRIJ RAJESWAR SHRAMA, SECTION OFFICER, OFFICE OF THE PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS, M.P. BHOPAL (FORMER MANAGER M.P. CHAIRMENSHIP VIKAS NIGAM) BHOPAL (MADHYA PRADESH)
4. KU. CHHAMA TIWARI, ASSISTANT GRADE-I, OFFICE OF THE PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS M.P. BHOPAL AND FORMER ASSISTANT GRADE-I, EMPLOYEE OF M.P. LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DEPARTMENT, BHOPAL A-4. (MADHYA PRADESH)

.....RESPONDENTS

(BY SHRI SUBODH KATHAR - GOVT. ADVOCATE FOR RESPONDENT/STATE AND SHRI HEMANT SHRIVASTAVA - ADVOCATE FOR RESPONDENT NO.3)

This petition coming on for hearing this day, the court passed the following:

ORDER

Counsel appearing for respondent No.3 submitted that petitioner No.1 has expired 10 years ago. Counsel for petitioners does not have any instruction. In these circumstances, petition be dismissed.

Counsel appearing for petitioners prays for time to argue the case.

It appears that counsel appearing for petitioners has lost touch with the parties due to long passage of time. SPC was also issued earlier, but same was also not served. In these circumstances, petition is **dismissed**.

Counsel for petitioners will be at liberty to file application for restoration

if any of the parties approach the counsel for litigating the matter before Court.

(VISHAL DHAGAT)
JUDGE

sp/-

