CGHC010438252026 2026:CGHC:46989

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRCA No. 1871 of 2026

1 - Balvir Singh Son Of Rajendra Singh Aged About 31 Years Resident Of Village Podi, Lodam, P.S. Lodam, District Jashpur Chhattisgarh

... Applicant

Versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Station House Officer, Police Station Lodam, District Jashpur (C.G.)

... Non-applicant

For Applicant	**:**	Mr. Ajeet Kumar Yadav, Advocate
For Non-applicant	**:**	Ms. Evelin Juneja, Panel Lawyer

SB: Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge

ORDER ON BOARD

03/11/2026

1. This is the second anticipatory bail application filed by the applicant. The first anticipatory bail appliation was dismissed as withdrawn in MCRCA No. 1641/2026 vide order dated 06.10.2026.

2. This anticipatory bail application is filed by the applicant under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail, as the applicant is apprehending his arrest in connection with Crime No. 57/2026 registered at Police Station – Lodam, District – Jashpur, Chhattisgarh for the offences punishable under Sections 376, 376 (2) (n) of the Indian Penal Code, 1860.

3. Case of the prosecution, in brief, is that the victim lodged written report in the concerned police station alleging that the applicant on the pretext of marriage committed sexual intercourse with her and thereafter, refused to marry her. Based on the report, aforementioned crime was registered in which, the applicant is apprehending his arrest.

4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is innocent. He has been falsely implicated in the crime. He has not committed any offence as alleged. He submits that the victim is a divorced lady and if physical relationship between the two is established, it would be consensual.

5. On the other hand, learned counsel for the Respondent/State opposes the submission made by the counsel for the applicant and would submit that the applicant came in contact with the victim through Facebook and thereafter, on the pretext of marriage had establsihed physical relationship with her. Upon which, she became pregnant and thereafter, refused to marry her. She also pointed out that the applicant was already a married person even then, by deceiving the victim, he has established physical relationship with her.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the documents enclosed along with the application.

7. On due consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of allegations, submission of counsel for the respective parties, in particular, applicant being already a married persons has projected himself to be unmarried and established physical relationship with the

victim, I do not find present to be a fit case to allow this application for grant of anticipatory bail.

8. Accordingly, this anticipatory bail application is **dismissed**.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
(Parth Prateem Sahu)
Judge

Dey