CGHC010437482026 2026:CGHC:47070

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRCA No. 1858 of 2026

1 - Anupam Kumar Singh S/o. Shri Rama Shankar Singh Aged About 30 Years Civil Engineer, R/o. Miners Colony, Ward No. 15 Vishrampur, Police Station Vishrampur, Tahsil Surajpur, District Surajpur, (C.G.)

... Applicant

Versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Police Station Kamleshwarpur, District Sarguja, C.G.

... Non-applicant

For Applicant	**:**	Mr. Neeraj Kumar Mehta, Advocate
For Non-applicant/State	**:**	Mr. H.A.P.S. Bhatia, Government Advocate

S.B.: Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge

ORDER ON BOARD

05/11/2026

1. Applicant has preferred this application under Section 482 of Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail as he apprehends his arrest in connection with Crime No. 82/2026 registered at Police Station- Kamleshwarpur, District – Sarguja (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860.

2. Case of prosecution, in brief, is that, the applicant had interpolated the revenue documents and tried to purchase the land which is a Government land bearing Khasra No. 1378, admeasuring 3.460

hectares, situated at Village Kesra, Tehsil Mainpat, District Sarguja. As per the revenue records for the year 1995-1996, new Khasra No. 1378 was recorded against old Khasra No. 576, admeasuring 3.460 hectares, and the land was recorded as "छोटे झाड़ के वन". Subsequently, in the year 2015-2016, the land was recorded in the name of Vijay Singh, S/o Chandravadan Sing, and in the revenue records for the year 2021-2022, the land stood recorded in his name. Subsequently, the Collector, Ambikapur directed the Tehsildar, Mainpat to conduct an inquiry regarding the revenue records of the said land. The Tehsildar thereafter submitted his inquiry report. On the basis of the inquiry report, the aforementioned crime against which, applicant is apprehending his arrest.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant would submit that the applicant is innocent. He has been falsely implicated in the crime. He has not committed any offence as alleged. He submits that the applicant has been made accused only on the basis of the memorandum of co-accused person. He submits that the applicant himself has been cheated as he enter into the agreement to purchase the land from the co-accused Vijay Singh and had paid a sum of Rs. 2,00,000/-. However, the sale-deed could not be executed. There is no apprehension of his absconding and he will participate in the investigation and co-operate in the trial. There are no criminal antecedent registered against the applicant. Hence, he may be enlarged on bail.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the submission of counsel for applicant and would submit that as per allegation, the applicant along with other co-accused persons had interpolated the revenue records and thereafter, the applicant entered into the agreement

to purchase the land and thereby, there is involvement of the present applicant in the aforementioned crime.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the respective parties.

6. On due consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of allegation, submission of learned counsel for the parties, applicant has been made accused on the basis of the memorandum statement of the co-accused, there is no other criminal antecedent against him, without commenting anything on merits, I am inclined to allow this anticipatory bail application.

7. Accordingly, application is allowed and it is directed that in the event of arrest of applicant, he shall be released on anticipatory bail by the Officer arresting him on his executing a personal bond in the sum of ₹25,000/- with one surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the concerned arresting Officer. Applicant shall also abide by the following conditions:

(i) that the applicant shall make himself available for interrogation before the Investigation Officer as and when required;

(ii) that the applicant shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

(iii) that the applicant shall not act, in any manner, which will be prejudicial to fair and expeditious trial; and

(iv) that the applicant shall appear before the trial Court on each and every date given to him by the said Court till disposal of the trial

8. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

Sd/-

(**Parth Prateem Sahu**)

Judge

Dey