



CGHC010434372026 2026:CGHC:46746

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 10451 of 2026

Pawan Harihar Singh S/o Late Harihar Singh Aged About 44 Years R/o House No. 141, Ward No. 6, Anij Line Behind Nehru Bhawan, Supela Market, Supela Bhilai, District Durg Chhattisgarh

… Applicant

versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through Police Station - Jamul, Bhilai, District Durg Chhattisgarh

... Respondent

For Applicant	:	Ms. Jigyasa Singh, Advocate
For Respondent-State	:	Mr. HAPS Bhatia, Govt. Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge

ORDER ON BOARD

04.11.2026

1. Applicant has filed this **second bail application** under Section 483 of Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail as he has been arrested in connection with Crime No. 437/2026 registered at Police Station – Jamul Bhilai, District- Durg, (C.G.) for offence punishable under Section 318(4) and Section 3(5) of BNS, 2023.First

bail application of the present applicant was withdrawn vide order dated 08.09.2026 in MCRC No. 8209 of 2026 by this Court.

2. Case of prosecution in brief is that complainant Alok Kumar Mishra lodged an FIR on 14.09.2024 at Police Station Jamul, District Durg alleging that he was well acquainted with the present applicant and his wife. It is alleged that the applicant represented himself to be engaged in share market option trading and, induced the complainant to invest money in a financial consultancy company on the assurance that the investment would generate substantial profits and that the invested amount would be doubled within a short period. Acting upon the aforesaid representations, the complainant paid an amount of Rs. 16,40,000/- to the applicant during the period from 14.09.2024 to 07.01.2026. It is alleged that initially the accused persons paid certain returns to the complainant in order to gain his confidence, however, thereafter they invested money, avoided the complainant by making false promises. Subsequently, the applicant and his wife allegedly switched off their mobile phones and left Bhilai. Hence, based upon the aforementioned, crime was registered against the applicant along with his wife.

3. Learned counsel for applicant submits that applicant has been falsely implicated in the crime, he has not committed any offence as alleged. She further submits that though the applicant has accepted money from the complainant for investment, however, he could not be able to repay the same money due to crash in share market. She also submits that the co-accused i.e. the wife of applicant has been granted bail by this Court in MCRC No. 7595 of 2026 vide order dated 17.08.2026, whereas

he is still in jail since 28.07.2026. Hence, he may also be enlarged on bail.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the submission made by learned counsel for applicant and would submit that there are specific allegations against the applicant of collecting the total sum of Rs. 31, 90,000/- from different persons out of which Rs. 16,40,000/- has been taken from the complainant. He also submits that during the course of investigation, no such documents have been produced by the applicant to show that he has invested any money taken from complainant in the share market. He lastly submits that there is one criminal antecedent registered against the present applicant which is of similar nature.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the documents enclosed along with the bail application.

6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of allegation and further considering that during course of investigation applicant failed to produce any such document showing that he has invested the amount taken from complainant or from different persons to be invested in share market, I do not find present to be a fit case to allow the application, accordingly, application is **dismissed.**

Certified copy as per rules. Sd/-

(Parth Prateem Sahu)

alfiza **JUDGE**