CGHC010434132026 2026:CGHC:46790

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRCA No. 1862 of 2026

1 - Nitesh Kumar Sahu @ Raja S/o Suresh Kumar Sahu Aged About 27 Years R/o Sahupara, Sonpur, P.S. - Surajpur, District - Surajpur (Chhattisgarh)

--- Applicant

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Officer In Charge, Police Station, Surajpur, District - Surajpur (Chhattisgarh)

--- Respondent

(Cause title is taken from CIS system)

For Applicant : Mr. Ashutosh Mishra, Advocate

For Respondent/State : Mr. H.A.P.S. Bhatia, Govt. Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Parth Prateem Sahu

Order On Board

BALRAM PRASAD DEWANGAN

Digitally signed by BALRAM PRASAD DEWANGAN

04/11/2026

1. This is the first bail application filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short ‘BNSS’) for grant of anticipatory bail to the applicant who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Crime No. 150 of 2025 registered at Police Station Surajpur, District – Surajpur (C.G.) under Sections 420 of I.P.C. (318 (2) of BNS), 413 of I.P.C. (317 (4) of BNS and Section 120-B of I.P.C. (61 (2) (A) of BNS.).

2. Case of the prosecution in brief is that on complaints received by the Inspector General Of Police SIB/SSTNS/Cyber Crime police Head Quarter Nava Raipur CG regarding online fraud, investigation was conducted and upon which it was found that one Anand Kumar had give his bank of Maharashtra account bearing no. 60477170109 Branch Surajpur Of Union Bank and Indian account no. 6676702010002526 Branch Surajpur CG to co-accused Saurabh Sahu, whereby huge transactions were made for almost 2 years. It has been further alleged that Saurabh Sahu alongwith other co-accused including applicant collected bank accounts of several persons and given them six to twelve thousand to them in return.

3. Learned counsel for applicant submits that applicant is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case. Applicant has not committed any offence as alleged. There is no account in the name of applicant in which huge amount has been transferred or transaction has taken place. Nine co-accused persons in this case have been prosecuted and after trial they have been acquitted from the charges. He submits that recently the police went to the house of applicant, therefore, application for anticipatory bail was filed by applicant, however, learned trial Court erroneously considering that applicant was absconding, has rejected the bail application. Hence, applicant may be extended benefit of anticipatory bail.

4. Learned State counsel opposes the submission of learned counsel for applicant. She submits that applicant was instrumental and collected the accounts in the name of other persons and has handed over to one Surabh Sahu and there was a transaction of Rs.87,60,933/-. On

putting specific query, learned State counsel submits that applicant has been shown absconding by the police while filing the charge-sheet.

5. I have heard learned counsel for parties and perused the documents placed on record.

6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of allegation and further considering the submission of learned State counsel that applicant absconded at the time of investigation and filing of charge-sheet, I do not find present to be a fit case to extend the benefit of anticipatory bail to applicant.

7. Accordingly, the anticipatory bail application is rejected.

Sd/-
(Parth Prateem Sahu)
Judge

Balram