CGHC010434102026

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 10650 of 2026

Tikabai Sahu W/o (Wrongly Mentioned As D/o) Bhagatram Sahu, Aged About 50 Years R/o Village - Navrangpur, P.S. Saraipali District - Mahasamund C.G.

... Applicant(s)

versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through Station House Officer, Excise Circle Saraipali, District - Mahasamund C.G.

... Non-Applicant(s)

For Applicant	:	Mr. Kishore Narayan, Advocate.
For Non-Applicant/State	:	Ms. Monika Thakur, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Mr. Rakesh Mohan Pandey, Judge

Order on Board

05/11/2026

1. The applicant has preferred this First Bail Application under Section 483 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail, as she has been arrested in connection with Crime No. 133/2026, registered at Police Station : Excise Circle, Saraipali, District- Mahasamund (C.G.)

for the offence punishable under Section 34(2) of the C.G. Excise Act.

2. The case of the prosecution, in brief, is that the Police of Police Station, Excise Circle Saraipali, District- Mahasamund (C.G.), received a secret information from the informant and on the basis of the said information conducted raid and have seized 11 bulk liters of handmade Mahua liquor from the possession of the applicant. Thereafter, Police has registered an offence punishable under Section 34(2) of the C.G. Excise Act against the present applicant.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has falsely been implicated in this case and that the alleged liquor was not seized from the exclusive possession of the applicant. He further submits that, in the present case, the charge-sheet has been filed before the competent Court and further the applicant has no criminal antecedent. The applicant is in jail since 14.09.2026 and the conclusion of the trial is likely to take considerable time. Therefore, he prays for grant of regular bail to the applicant.

4. On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the prayer for grant of bail to the applicant and submits that in

the present case, charge-sheet has been filed before the competent Court and the applicant has no criminal antecedent but the quantity of liquor seized i.e.11 bulk liters of handmade Mahua liquor from the possession of the present applicant, she is not entitled for grant of bail.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case-diary.

6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, nature and gravity of allegations alleged against the applicant, the applicant has no criminal antecedent, the fact that the charge-sheet has been filed before the competent Court, the period of incarceration since 14.09.2026 and the fact that the conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, I am inclined to grant regular bail to the applicant

7. Accordingly, the bail application of the applicant is **allowed**. It is directed that in the event of the applicant executing a personal bond for a sum of Rs.50,000/- with one surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court, she shall be released on bail on the following conditions:-

(i) she shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person

acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade her from disclosing such fact to the Court;

(ii) she shall not act in any manner which will be prejudicial to fair and expeditious trial, &

(iii) she shall appear before the trial Court on each and every date given to her by the said Court till disposal of the trial.

Sd/-
(Rakesh Mohan Pandey)
Judge

Kunal