CGHC010434072026 2026:CGHC:46987

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRCA No. 1874 of 2026

1 - Prabhjot Singh Alias Harsh Singh S/o Late Upendra Singh Aged About 25 Years R/o Village Panchayat - Netnagar, P.S. Jutemill, District Raigarh Chhattisgarh

... Applicant

Versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Police Station - Baradwar, District Sakti Chhattisgarh (Particulars Mentioned As Per Impugned Bail Rejection Order)

... Non-applicant

For Applicant	:	Mr. Kamlesh Patel, Advocate
For Non-Applicant/State	:	Mr. Afroz Khan, Panel Lawyer

S.B.: Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge

ORDER ON BOARD

05/11/2026

1. Applicant has preferred this application under Section 482 of Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail as he apprehends his arrest in connection with Crime No. 216/2025 registered at Police Station- Baradwar, District – Sakti (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 20 (B), 29 of the NDPS, Act, 1985.

2. Case of prosecution, in brief, is that, on 17.08.2025, based on a secret information, the police authorities intercepted a Jupiter Scooty, Near Sakareli Overbridge and apprehended three persons namely Lukesh Sao, David Sidar, and Deepak Chandra. From their possession, a total of

5.33 Kg of alleged contraband (Ganja) was seized in three different bags. It is further alleged that during the interrogation, the co-accused persons stated in their memorandum that they had procured the said contraband from the present Applicant, Prabhjot Singh alias Harsh Singh. On the basis of the statement of the co-accused persons, the applicant was also made accused in the aforementioned crime against which, he is apprehending his arrest.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant would submit that the applicant is innocent. He has been falsely implicated in the crime. He has not committed any offence as alleged. He submits that the applicant has been made accused only on the basis of the memorandum statement of the 03 co-accused persons from whom, total 5.33 kgs of Contraband (Ganja) of different quantities have been seized. There is no apprehension of his absconding and he will participate in the investigation and co-operate in the trial. There are no criminal antecedent registered against the applicant. Hence, he may be enlarged on anticipatory bail.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the submission of counsel for applicant and would submit that the co-accused persons from whom the Contraband have been seized and arrested, have stated the name of the applicant to have supplied the Contraband (Ganja) for the purpose of sale. However, upon putting query with regard to the criminal antecedent of the applicant, he submits that according to the case-diary, there is no other criminal antecedent against the applicant.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the respective parties.

6. On due consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of allegation, submission of learned counsel for the parties, applicant has been made accused on the basis of the memorandum statement of the

co-accused persons, there is no other criminal antecedent against him, without commenting anything on merits, I am inclined to allow this anticipatory bail application.

7. Accordingly, application is allowed and it is directed that in the event of arrest of applicant, he shall be released on anticipatory bail by the Officer arresting him on his executing a personal bond in the sum of ₹25,000/- with one surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the concerned arresting Officer. Applicant shall also abide by the following conditions:

   (i) that the applicant shall make himself available for interrogation before the Investigation Officer as and when required;

   (ii) that the applicant shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

   (iii) that the applicant shall not act, in any manner, which will be prejudicial to fair and expeditious trial; and

   (iv) that the applicant shall appear before the trial Court on each and every date given to him by the said Court till disposal of the trial;

8. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

Sd/-

(**Parth Prateem Sahu**)

Judge

Dey