CGHC010430342026 2026:CGHC:46894

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPC No. 5632 of 2026

1 - Sakshi Harsani W/o Shri Rajesh Harsani, Aged About 43 Years R/o Gali No. 02, Katora Talab, Raipur, Tehsil And District Raipur C.G.

2 - Rajesh Harsani, S/o Late Shri Heeramal Harsani, Aged About 45 Years R/o Gali No. 02, Katora Talab, Raipur, Tehsil And District Raipur C.G.

... Petitioner(s)

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Home, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Nava Raipur, District Raipur, C.G.

2 - The Collector Raipur District Raipur, C.G.

3 - The Zonal Commissioner, Zone No. 4, Municipal Corporation, Raipur, C.G.

4 - The Station House Officer, Police Station Civil Lines, Raipur, C.G.

5 - Amar Chandnani, S/o Late Satram Das Chandnani R/o Netaji Medical Store Katora Talab, Raipur, C.G.

6 - Manohar Chandnani, S/o Late Satram Das Chandnani, R/o Netaji Medical Store Katora Talab, Raipur, C.G.

... Respondent(s)

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Pawan Kesharwani, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. Hariom Rai, PL
For Respondent No.3	:	Mr. Pankaj Agrawal, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Amitendra Kishore Prasad

Order on Board

05/11/2026

1. The petitioners, in this Writ Petition, have prayed for the following reliefs:-

> *“10.1. That, this Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to issue appropriate Writ/ Order/ directions, directing Respondent authorities to act upon the representations (Annexure P-1) dated 26.09.2026 made before Respondent No. 4 and 28.09.2026 made before Respondent No. 2 and 3 and take strict actions against the illegal construction raised by the private Respondent No. 5 and 6 in respect of the subject property.*
>
> *10.2. That, this Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to issue direction/directions to Respondent No. 2, 3 and 4 to demolish the structure concerned, which is illegally raised by the private respondent no. 5 and 6.*
>
> *10.3 That, this Hon’ble Court may kindly be pleased to grant any other relief(s), which is deemed fit and proper in the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case”*

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have submitted representations dated 26.09.2026 and 28.09.2026 before the concerned authorities, including the Collector, Zone Commissioner and Station House Officer, regarding the alleged illegal construction raised by respondent Nos. 5 and 6 over the subject property. He, therefore, submits that the concerned authorities may be directed to consider and decide the representations in accordance with law.

3. Learned State counsel has no objection to the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners.

4. Having considered the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, this Court is of the view that the concerned authorities are directed to consider the petitioners' representations dated 26.09.2026 and 28.09.2026 and decide the same strictly in accordance with law.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case.

6. With the aforesaid observations and directions, the present petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
(Amitendra Kishore Prasad)
Judge

Priyanka