CGHC010429482026 2026:CGHC:46269

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPC No. 5499 of 2026

1 - Dhananjay Kumar Rathore S/o - Late. Dori Lal Rathore Aged About 50 Years R/o - House No. - 17, Anuvarat Vihar, Near Shiv Mandir, Teacher Colony, Raipur District - Raipur Chhattisgarh

... Petitioner(s)

VISHAKHA BEOHAR Digitally signed by VISHAKHA BEOHAR

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Revenue And Disaster Management Department, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Nava Raipur, District - Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

2 - The District Collector Bilaspur, District - Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.

3 - Tehsildar Tehsil - Bilaspur, District - Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.

... Respondents

(Cause-title taken from the Case Information System)

For Petitioner :- Mr. Manharan Lal Sahu, Advocate

For State :- Mrs. Akansha Verma Dhabedkar, P.L.

SB- Hon'ble Shri Justice Amitendra Kishore Prasad

Order On Board

02.11.2026

1. By way of the present petition, the petitioner has called in question the inaction on the part of the respondent authorities in carrying

out demarcation of his land bearing Khasra No. 134/31, admeasuring 0.020 hectare, situated at Patwari Halka No. 33, Village Chantidih, District Bilaspur (C.G.), despite the fact that the date for demarcation was fixed as 09.02.2026. The petitioner has also raised a grievance regarding the failure of the respondent authorities to take appropriate action on his complaints alleging unauthorized occupation of the subject land.

2. The petitioners have approached this Court seeking following appropriate reliefs :-

> "*10.1. Direct the respondent authorities, to conduct demarcation of the petitioner's land bearing Khasra No. 134/31, admeasuring 0.020 hectare (2160 square feet), situated at Patwari Halka No. 33, Village Chantidih, District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh, within a stipulated period, in accordance with law;*
>
> *10.2. Direct the concerned authorities to consider the petitioner's complaints regarding the alleged unauthorized occupation of the subject land and take appropriate action in accordance with law;*
>
> *10.3. Grant any other relief or reliefs which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case, in the interest of justice."*

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that despite fixing 09.02.2026 as the date for demarcation of the petitioner's land bearing Khasra No. 134/31, admeasuring 0.020 hectare,

situated at Patwari Halka No. 33, Village Chantidih, District Bilaspur (C.G.), the respondent authorities have failed to carry out the demarcation proceedings. He further submits that the petitioner's complaints regarding alleged unauthorized occupation of the subject land have also not received due consideration and no effective action has been taken thereon. It is contended that such inaction on the part of the respondent authorities adversely affects the petitioner's right to hold and enjoy his property as protected under Article 300-A of the Constitution of India. Learned counsel submits that the petitioner is not seeking adjudication of any disputed question of title but only seeks a direction to the competent revenue authorities to conduct demarcation after giving due notice to the concerned parties and to consider his complaints and take appropriate action in accordance with law within a stipulated time.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that there appears to be some dispute with regard to the subject land and, therefore, the application for demarcation could not be decided earlier. However, she submits that the respondent authorities shall consider and decide the petitioner's application for demarcation within a period of 60 days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, in accordance with law.

5. In view of the submission made by learned State counsel, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent

authorities, particularly the competent revenue authority, to consider and decide the petitioner's application for demarcation within a period of 60 days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, after affording due opportunity of hearing to all concerned parties.

6. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the claim of the petitioner or the alleged unauthorized occupation of the subject land. The competent authority shall take an independent decision in accordance with law.

7. With the aforesaid direction, the petition stands **disposed of.**

sd/-

(Amitendra Kishore Prasad)
Judge

Vishakha