CGHC010426962026 2026:CGHC:46029

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRCA No. 1801 of 2026

Nishan Singh S/o Shri Balbir Singh Aged About 39 Years R/o- Lig-1088, Veer Savarkar Nagar, Hirapur, District Raipur (C.G.) **... Applicant**

versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through Station House Officer, Police Station- Kabir Nagar, District- Raipur (C.G.) **...Respondent**

For Applicant	**:** Mr. Atul Kumar Kesharwani, Advocate.
For Respondent-State	**:** Mr. Amit Verma, Panel Lawyer.

Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge
ORDER ON BOARD

30/10/2026

1. Applicant has preferred this application under Section 482 of Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail as he apprehends his arrest in connection with Crime No. 208/2026 registered at Police Station - Kabir Nagar, District- Raipur (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 69 and 351(2) of the BNS.

2. The prosecution case, in brief, is that the victim lodged an FIR at Police Station Kabir Nagar, Raipur, alleging that she came into contact with the present applicant through a common friend.

Thereafter, the applicant developed a relationship with her and used to take her and her 10-year-old daughter to his residence. It is further alleged that on 10.10.2024, the applicant induced the victim to establish physical relations with him on the promise of marriage and continued to have physical relations with her until 07.03.2026. When the victim asked him to marry her, he threatened to kill her. It is also alleged that the applicant caused her to undergo an abortion. On the basis of the aforesaid allegations, the present FIR was registered against the applicant.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present crime. He further submits that the victim is a divorced woman aged about 35 years and that, even if the allegations are taken to be true, the relationship between the applicant and the victim was consensual. He also submits that the applicant has no criminal antecedents, hence, applicant may be granted benefit of anticipatory bail.

4. Learned State counsel opposes the application; however, he does not dispute the submissions made by learned counsel for the applicant regarding age of the victim. He stated that victim is a divorcee lady.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the respective parties.

6. On due consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of allegation, submission of learned counsel for the parties, without commenting anything on merits, I am inclined to allow this anticipatory bail application.

7. Accordingly, application is allowed and it is directed that in the event of arrest of applicant in connection with the crime in question (208/2026), he shall be released on anticipatory bail by the Officer arresting him on his executing a personal bond in the sum of Rs.25,000/- with one surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the concerned arresting Officer. Applicant shall also abide by the following conditions:

(i) that the applicant shall make himself available for interrogation before the Investigation Officer as and when required;
(ii) that the applicant shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;
(iii) that the applicant shall not act, in any manner, which will be prejudicial to fair and expeditious trial;
(iv) that the applicant shall appear before the trial Court on each and every date given to him by the said Court till disposal of the trial.

8. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

Sd/-

(**Parth Prateem Sahu**)
JUDGE

vaibhav