CGHC010426392026 2026:CGHC:46986

RAVI SHANKAR MANDAVI Digitally signed by RAVI SHANKAR MANDAVI

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRCA No. 1875 of 2026

1 - Anirudh Tamrakar S/o. Shri Pramod Tamrakar, Aged About 39 Years R/o. 143, Jawahar Nagar, Durg, Tehsil And Dist. Durg, C.G. (Particulars Of The Applicant Are Mentioned Correctly)

... Applicant

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Police Station Mohan Nagar, Tehsil And District Durg, C.G. (Name Of The Respondent Wrongly Mentioned In The Order Impugned)

... Respondent

(Cause title is taken from CIS)

For Applicant	**:** Mr. Anmol Sharma, Advocate
For State/Respondent	**:** Mr. Pranjal Shukla, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Justice Parth Prateem Sahu

Order on Board

05/11/2026

1. Applicant has preferred first bail application under Section 482 of Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of anticipatory

bail as he apprehends his arrest in connection with Crime No. 691/2026 registered at Police Station- Mohan Nagar, District Durg (C.G.) for offence punishable under Sections 74, 78 and 126(2) of BNS.

2. Case of the prosecution, in brief, is that on 23.09.2026 complainant/victim has lodged a written complaint before the police authorities stating that she is the resident of Durg and it is alleged in the complaint that for past 7-8 months present applicant is continuously stalking her, making filthy gestures and tries to enter her house wherever she is alone. That it is further alleged that on 14.09.2026 when complainant/victim was heading to her house in 2 wheeler the present applicant intervened her near Y' shape bridge and sexually assaulted her upon resisting the present applicant threatened her for dire consequences after which she escaped the scene and went to her house. It is further alleged by the complainant/victim that few months back also the applicant came to her house in Martui Zen with black tint and threatened her. But this time he has assaulted her therefore she wants action from the police authorities. On the basis of the complaint, the aforesaid crime was registered against the accused person.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has not committed any offence as alleged and the applicant was falsely implicated in the crime in question. He also pointed out the

allegation is that the applicant had stopped the complainant/victim under the ‘Y’ shape bridge and has tried to outrage her modesty, which is not possible as it is a public place.

4. Learned State counsel oppose the submission of learned counsel for applicant and submits that according to the compliant, the applicant is stopping the complainant/victim since last many months and on the date of incident i.e. 14.09.2026, he obstructed in front of the 2 wheeler vehicle of the complainant and thereafter, he has tried to outrage her modesty and upon screening he ran away. There is one criminal antecedent against the applicant. Upon putting query to the learned counsel for the State as to whether in the complaint there is mention that on the screening any other person passing or moving on the said road has come to her rescue, he submits that there is no such mention.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the respective parties and also perused the documents enclosed along with bail application.

6. On due consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of allegation, submission of learned counsel for the parties, further considering that the place of incident is a public place, without commenting anything on merits, I am inclined to allow this anticipatory bail application.

7. Accordingly, application is allowed and it is directed that in the event of arrest of applicant in connection with the aforementioned

crime number, he shall be released on anticipatory bail by the Officer arresting him on his executing a personal bond in the sum of ₹25,000/- with one surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the concerned arresting Officer. Applicant shall also abide by the following conditions:

(i) that the applicant shall make himself available for interrogation before the Investigation Officer as and when required;

(ii) that the applicant shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

(iii) that the applicant shall not act, in any manner, which will be prejudicial to fair and expeditious trial; and

(iv) that the applicant shall appear before the trial Court on each and every date given to him by the said Court till disposal of the trial.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-

(Parth Prateem Sahu)

JUDGE

Ravi Mandavi