CGHC010424732026 2026:CGHC:46771

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRCA No. 1831 of 2026

1 - Vipin Mahilane S/o Krishno Mahilane Aged About 35 Years R/o Village Jildi, P.S. And Tahsil Sarangarh, District Sarangarh-Bilaigarh C.G.
2 - Krishno Mahilane S/o Dukhuram Mahilane Aged About 78 Years R/o Village Jildi, P.S. And Tahsil Sarangarh, District Sarangarh-Bilaigarh C.G.
3 - Kamla Mahilane W/o Krishno Mahilane Aged About 70 Years R/o Village Jildi, P.S. And Tahsil Sarangarh, District Sarangarh-Bilaigarh C.G.
4 - Chandrabhushan Mahilane S/o Krishno Mahilane Aged About 38 Years R/o Village Jildi, P.S. And Tahsil Sarangarh, District Sarangarh-Bilaigarh C.G.
5 - Salita Mahilane W/o Chandrabhushan Mahilane Aged About 28 Years R/o Village Jildi, P.S. And Tahsil Sarangarh, District Sarangarh-Bilaigarh C.G.
6 - Manoj Kumar Kurrey S/o Late Kunjram Kurrey Aged About 40 Years R/o Village Doukijor, P. S. And Tahsil Sarangarh, District Sarangarh-Bilaigarh C.G.
7 - Nirmala Kurrey W/o Manoj Kumar Kurrey Aged About 40 Years R/o Village Doukijor, P. S. And Tahsil Sarangarh, District Sarangarh-Bilaigarh C.G.

... Applicants

versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Station House Officer, Police Station Sarangarh, District Sarangarh-Bilaigarh C.G. **...Respondent**

For Applicants	**:** Mr. Udho Ram Koshaley, Advocate.
For Respondent-State	**:** Mr. Aman Tamrakar , Panel Lawyer.

Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge
ORDER ON BOARD

04/11/2026

1. Applicants have preferred this application under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, for grant of anticipatory bail, apprehending their arrest in connection with Crime No. 515/2026, registered at Police Station Sarangarh, District Sarangarh-Bilaigarh

(C.G.), for the offences punishable under Sections 115(2), 296, 351(3), 85 and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Sections 4 and 5 of the Tonahi Pratarana Adhiniyam 2005.

2. Case of the prosecution, in brief, is that the complainant lodged a written complaint before the concerned Police Station alleging that she was married to Vipin Mahilane, who is applicant No. 1. Applicant Nos. 2 and 3 are the parents of applicant No. 1, applicant Nos. 4 and 5 are his brothers-in-law, and applicant No. 6 is his brother. After some time of the marriage, it is alleged that her husband, Vipin Mahilane, and his family members subjected her to mental harassment and demanded dowry from her. As a result, the complainant left her matrimonial home and started residing separately at her parental house.

3. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that the applicants are innocent and have been falsely implicated in the present crime. He further submits that the allegations with regard to the conduct of the applicants pertain to the meeting held on 27.02.2026, whereas the FIR was lodged on 11.10.2026. The applicants have no criminal antecedents. Therefore, it is prayed that the applicants be granted the benefit of anticipatory bail.

4. Learned State counsel opposes the submissions made by learned counsel for the applicants and submits that there are specific allegations against the applicants. Upon a specific query being put to learned State counsel regarding the relationship of the applicants with the complainant, he submits that the complainant is the wife of applicant No. 1 and the daughter-in-law of applicant Nos. 2 and 3. However, he does not dispute that the applicants have no criminal antecedents.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the respective parties.

6. On due consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of allegation, submission of learned counsel for the parties, without commenting anything on merits, I am inclined to allow this anticipatory bail application.

7. Accordingly, application is allowed and it is directed that in the event of arrest of applicants in connection with the crime in question (515/2026), they shall be released on anticipatory bail by the Officer arresting them on their executing a personal bond in the sum of Rs.25,000/- with one surety each in the like sum to the satisfaction of the concerned arresting Officer. Applicants shall also abide by the following conditions:

(i) that the applicants shall make herself available for interrogation before the Investigation Officer as and when required;
(ii) that the applicants shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;
(iii) that the applicants shall not act, in any manner, which will be prejudicial to fair and expeditious trial;
(iv) that the applicants shall appear before the trial Court on each and every date given to them by the said Court till disposal of the trial.

8. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

Sd/-

(**Parth Prateem Sahu**)
JUDGE

vaibhav