CGHC010424682026 2026:CGHC:46030

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRCA No. 1792 of 2026

1 - Santosh Das Sahu S/o. Brijlal Sahu Aged About 61 Years R/o. Village-Parrikala, Ward No. 10, Thana- City Kotwali, Rajnandgaon, Tahsil And Distt-Rajnandgaon (C.G.)

2 - Pramod Kumar Sahu S/o. Brijlal Sahu, Aged About 52 Years R/o. Village-Parrikala, Ward No. 10, Thana- City Kotwali, Rajnandgaon, Tahsil And Distt-Rajnandgaon (C.G.)

3 - Deepak Das Sahu S/o. Santosh Das Sahu, Aged About 35 Years R/o. Village-Parrikala, Ward No. 10, Thana- City Kotwali, Rajnandgaon, Tahsil And Distt-Rajnandgaon(C.G.) **... Applicants**

versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through Sho, Thana- Kotwali Distt. - Rajnandgoan (C.G.)

...Respondent

For Applicants	**:** Mr. Samir Singh, Advocate.
For Respondent-State	**:** Ms. Sunita Manikpuri, G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge

ORDER ON BOARD

30/10/2026

1. Applicants have preferred this application under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, for grant of anticipatory bail, apprehending their arrest in connection with Crime No. 514/2026, registered at Police Station - Kotwali District Rajnandgaon (C.G.), for the offences punishable under Sections 318(4), 336(3), 338(1) & 340 of the BNS.

2. The prosecution case, in brief, is that the complainant, namely Manthir Das, lodged a report on 09.04.2026, alleging that the applicants had fraudulently applied for a duplicate Rin Pustika from the Tehsildar's office by forging the complainant's signatures/consent and, on that basis, obtained a Kisan Credit Card (KCC) loan/cheque amounting to Rs. 80,000/- from Somni Society. On the basis of the complaint, the offence mentioned above was registered against the present applicants, and the police sought to arrest them in connection with the alleged offence.

3. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that the applicants are innocent and have been falsely implicated in the present crime. The allegation against the applicants is that they submitted a consent letter and an affidavit of the complainant before the Tehsildar for obtaining a duplicate Rin Pustika. After obtaining the duplicate Rin Pustika, the applicants submitted an application for a KCC loan and also applied for a Kisan Credit Card. He further submits that the report has been lodged due to civil disputes between the parties. After the objection was raised, the applicants did not withdraw the amount sanctioned towards the KCC loan, which is Rs. 80,000/-. There are no other criminal antecedents against the applicants. He also submits that the complainant is the real brother of applicant Nos. 1 and 2.

4. Learned counsel for the State opposes the submissions made by learned counsel for the applicants and submits that, upon receipt of the complaint, the offence was registered and the matter is still under investigation. On being asked whether the documents enclosed with the complaint, including signatures, affidavit and consent letter, have been verified by an expert, she submits that the matter is still under investigation and no such proceedings is available in the case diary.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the respective parties.

6. On due consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of allegation, submission of learned counsel for the parties, without commenting anything on merits, I am inclined to allow this anticipatory bail application.

7. Accordingly, application is allowed and it is directed that in the event of arrest of applicants in connection with the crime in question (514/2026), they shall be released on anticipatory bail by the Officer arresting them on their executing a personal bond in the sum of Rs.25,000/- with one surety each in the like sum to the satisfaction of the concerned arresting Officer. Applicants shall also abide by the following conditions:

(i) that the applicants shall make herself available for interrogation before the Investigation Officer as and when required;
(ii) that the applicants shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;
(iii) that the applicants shall not act, in any manner, which will be prejudicial to fair and expeditious trial;
(iv) that the applicants shall appear before the trial Court on each and every date given to them by the said Court till disposal of the trial.

8. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

Sd/-

(**Parth Prateem Sahu**)
JUDGE

vaibhav