CGHC010424302026 2026:CGHC:45913

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 7750 of 2026

Vikram Kumar Sahu S/o Shri Lakhan Lal Sahu Aged About 51 Years Working As Sub Engineer, At Office Of The Janpad Panchayat Kuakonda, (Rural Engineering Service Sub Division Kuakonda), District - South Bastar Dantewada, (C.G.)

... Petitioner(s)

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Panchayat And Rural Development, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.)

2 - Development Commissioner Office Of Development Commissioner, Vikas Bhawan, North Block Sector - 19, Nava Raipur, Atal Nagar, District Raipur (C.G.).

3 - Superintendent Engineer Rural Engineering Services Circle, Bastar, District Bastar (Jagdalpur), (C.G.).

4 - Executive Engineer Rural Engineering Services Division, Dantewada, District - South Bastar Dantewada, (C.G.)

5 - Collector District South Bastar Dantewada, (C.G.)

6 - Chief Executive Officer Zila Panchayat Dantewada, District - South Bastar Dantewada, (C.G.)

7 - Chief Executive Officer Janpad Panchayat Kuakonda, District South Bastar Dantewada, (C.G.)

8 - Sub Divisional Officer Rural Engineering Services, Sub-Division, Kuakonda, District - South Bastar Dantewada, (C.G.)

... Respondent(s)

(Cause title taken from CIS)

For Petitioner(s)	**:**	Shri Jeet Ram Patel, Advocate
For Respondent(s)	**:**	Shri Ghanshyam Kashyap, Dy. Govt. Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Bibhu Datta Guru, Judge

Order on Board

30.10.2026

1. The petitioner has filed this petition for issuance of direction to respondent- transferring authority to relieve him on the grievance that though the transfer order has been issued by the State Government on 11.03.2026 (Annexure-P/1), till date he has not been relieved.

2. The issue regarding implementation of transfer order has been considered by this Court in the case of ***Ms. Manisha Agrawal Vs. State of Chhattisgarh and Others, 2015(4) C.G.L.J.182***, wherein relying upon several judgment of the Supreme Court, it has been held by this Court that once the employee has been transferred, it is required to be executed, unless it is modified, varied or cancelled by the State Government itself.

3. Therefore, in these circumstances, this petition is disposed off with the direction that the petitioner shall be relieved forthwith by the controlling authority in compliance of order dated 11.03.2026, unless the State has not modified, varied or cancelled the impugned transfer order.

Sd/-

(Bibhu Datta Guru)
JUDGE

Shoaib