CGHC010423562026 2026:CGHC:46569

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRCA No. 1844 of 2026

1 - Jay Prakash Yadav S/o Late Shri Manharan Yadav Aged About 39 Years R/o Kosa Nagar, Ward No. 2, Behind Jay Institute, Post Office- Motilal Nehru Nagar, Distt. Durg (C.G.)

... Applicant

Versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Police Station - Supela, Distt. Durg (C.G.)

... Non-Applicant

For Applicant	:	Mr. Manoj Kumar Sinha, Advocate
For Non-Applicant	:	Ms. Sharmila Singhai, Additional Advocate General along with Ms. Sunita Manikpuri, Dy. Advocate General

SB: Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge

ORDER ON BOARD

03/11/2026

1. This is the third anticipatory bail application filed by the applicant. The first anticipatory bail appliation was dismissed in MCRCA No. 720/2026 vide order dated 12.05.2026 and the second anticipatory bail appliation was dismissed as withdrawn in MCRCA No. 1655/2026 vide order dated 07.10.2026.

2. This anticipatory bail application is filed by the applicant under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail, as the applicant is apprehending his arrest in connection with Crime

No. 234/2026 registered at Police Station – Supela, District – Durg, Chhattisgarh for the offences punishable under Sections 420 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860.

3. Case of the prosecution in brief is that the complainant lodged report in the concerned police station alleging that the applicant on the pretext of providing employment has falsely induced him and allegedly took an amount of Rs. 16,00,000/- from the applicant and also, from some other persons. On the basis of the said report, the FIR was registered in the concerned police station, against which, the applicatn is papprehending his arrest.

4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is innocent. He has been falsely implicated in the crime. He has not committed any offence as alleged. He submits that at the time of rejection of the first anticipatory bail application on merits, the document (Annexure A/4) could not be brought to the notice of this Court dated 12.05.2026 and therefore, this third bail application is filed.

5. On the other hand, learned counsel for the Respondent/State opposes the submission made by the counsel for the applicant and would submit that the first anticipatory bail application for grant of anticipatory bail of the applicant was dismissed on merits vide order dated 12.05.2026 in MCRCA No. 720/2026 and there is no subsequent development in the crime for consideration of this bail application.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the documents enclosed along with the application.

7. On due consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of allegations, submission of counsel for the respective parties, in particular, the first anticipatory bail application was rejected on merits by Co-ordinate Bench of this Court dated 12.05.2026 in MCRCA No. 720/2026 and the second bail application was dismissed as withdrawn vide order dated 07.10.2026 in MCRCA No. 1655/2026, subsequent to rejection of the bail application, there is no change in circumstances brought to the notice of this Court, I do not find present to be a fit case to allow this application for grant of anticipatory bail.

8. Accordingly, this anticipatory bail application is **dismissed**.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
(Parth Prateem Sahu)
Judge

Dey