CGHC010422992026 2026:CGHC:45726

RUCHI YADAV Digitally signed by RUCHI YADAV

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPC No. 5435 of 2026

1 - Deenu S/o Late Ramu Aged About 26 Years Caste Satnami,

2 - Dinesh S/o Late Ramu Aged About 24 Years

3 - Mukesh Pisram S/o Late Ramu Aged About 22 Years

4 - Sukwara Bai W/o Late Ramu Aged About 50 Years

All are Resident Of Village Piparsatti, Tahsil Akaltara, District Janjgir-Champa Chhattisgarh

... Petitioner(s)

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Department Of Revenue, Through Its Secretary Mahanadi Bhawan, New Mantralaya Atal Nagar Naya Raipur Chhattisgarh

2 - Collector Janjgir, District Janjgir - Champa Chhattisgarh

3 - Sub Divisional Officer (Rev.) Akaltara, District Janjgir - Champa Chhattisgarh

4 - Naib Tahsildar Tahsil Akaltara, District Janjgir - Champa Chhattisgarh

5 - Ballu, S/o Deendayal Caste Satnami, R/o Village Piparsatti, Tahsil Akaltara, District Janjgir - Champa Chhattisgarh

... Respondent(s)

(Cause title taken from CIS Periphery)

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. C.K. Sahu, Advocate
For State/Respondent	:	Mr. Abhyuday Tripathi, P.L. appears on advance copy

SB: Hon’ble Mr. Justice Amitendra Kishore Prasad

Order on Board

29/10/2026

1. By way of this petition, the petitioners have prayed for following reliefs:-

> “10.1 That, the Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to set-aside/quash the impugned order dated 30.09.2026 (Annexure P-1), in the interest of justice.
>
> 10.2 That, the Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to issue any other writ or writs/ order or orders/direction or directions which the Hon'ble Court deemed fit and proper in the interest of justice.”

2. Learned State counsel raises an objection that an efficacious alternative remedy is available to the petitioner under the law by way of filing an appeal/revision before the concerned Commissioner, which remedy has not been availed by the petitioner. He, therefore, submits that the present petition is not maintainable.

3. At this stage, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner may be permitted to avail the aforesaid alternative remedy before the concerned Commissioner.

4. Heard counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record.

5. In view of the submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner is permitted to file an appropriate appeal/revision before

the concerned Commissioner within a period of one month from today. In the event such appeal/revision is filed within the aforesaid period, the concerned Commissioner shall consider and decide the application for interim relief, if any, filed by the petitioner, in accordance with law, expeditiously.

6. With the aforesaid observations and directions, the present petition stands **disposed of**.

Sd/-

(Amitendra Kishore Prasad)

Judge

Ruchi