CGHC010421942026 2026:CGHC:46085

NAFR

PAWAN KUMAR JHA
Digitally signed by PAWAN KUMAR JHA
Date: 2026.10.30 17:57:26 +0530

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRCA No. 1811 of 2026

1. Rajkishor Rathore S/o. Ramdeo Rathore Aged About 25 Years R/o. Akaltara Raod, Janjgir, Police Station-Janjgir, District -Janjgir-Champa, C.G.
2. Remdeo Rahtre S/o. Late Raghunath Prasad, Aged About 61 Years R/o. Akaltara Raod, Janjgir, Police Station-Janjgir, District -Janjgir-Champa, C.G.
3. Saroj Rathore, W/o. Ramdeo Rathore, Aged About 48 Years R/o. Akaltara Raod, Janjgir, Police Station-Janjgir, District -Janjgir-Champa, C.G.
4. Vibhav Rathore, S/o. Ramdeo Rathore, Aged About 20 Years R/o. Akaltara Raod, Janjgir, Police Station-Janjgir, District -Janjgir-Champa, C.G.

... Applicants

versus

- State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Jiladhayaksha-Sakti, District-Sakti, C.G. Police Station-Malkharouda, (Adhbhar Chowki),

... Respondent

For Applicant	:	Mr. Pawan Shrivastava, Advocate
For Respondent-State	:	Mr. Himanshu Yadu, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge

ORDER ON BOARD

30/10/2026

1. Applicants have preferred this application under Section 482 of Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail as they apprehend their arrest in connection with Crime No. 352/2026 registered at Police Station- Malkharouda, District Sakti (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 85 read with Section 3(5) of Bhartiya Nyaya Samhita, 2023.

2. Case of prosecution, in brief, is that on 07.10.2026 complainant lodged report in the concerned police station stating that she was married to applicant No. 1 on 11.03.2026. It is alleged that applicants committed cruelty with her in the name of demand of dowry. They did not provide

treatment when she was suffering from illness and also thrown her out from the house on 20.08.2026 and on the same day, she returned back to her parental house. On the basis of report, aforementioned crime was registered.

3. Learned counsel for the applicants would submit that applicant is husband, applicants No. 2 & 3 are father-in-law and mother-in-law and applicant No. 4 is brother-in-law of complainant. He submits that marriage of applicant No. 1 with the complainant was solemnized on 11.03.2026, after marriage complainant finding herself difficult to adjust in the matrimonial home, has lodged report levelling false and fabricated allegations. He also submits that complainant left her matrimonial home on 20.08.2026 and report was lodged on 02.10.2026 after more than one month.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the submission of counsel for applicants and would submit that there is specific allegation against applicants that immediately after the marriage they were demanding car and on that ground complainant was harassed and assaulted on 20.08.2026.

5. Upon putting query with regard to date of leaving of house by the complainant, learned State counsel submits that according to the case diary on 20.08.2026, parents and the brother of complainant came to her matrimonial home and took her along with them.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the respective parties and also perused the documents enclosed along with bail application.

7. On due consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of allegation, submission of learned counsel for the parties, without commenting anything on merits, I am inclined to allow this anticipatory bail application.

8. Accordingly, application is allowed and it is directed that in the event of arrest of applicants in connection with the crime in question (352/2026), they shall be released on anticipatory bail by the Officer arresting them on their executing a personal bond in the sum of ₹25,000/- each applicant with one surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the concerned arresting Officer. Applicants shall also abide by the following conditions:

(i) that the applicants shall make themselves available for interrogation before the Investigation Officer as and when required;

(ii) that the applicants shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

(iii) that the applicants shall not act, in any manner, which will be prejudicial to fair and expeditious trial; and

(iv) that the applicant shall appear before the trial Court on each and every date given to them by the said Court till disposal of the trial.

9. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

Sd/-

(**Parth Prateem Sahu**)
JUDGE

pwn