CGHC010421562026 2026:CGHC:46305

PAWAN KUMAR JHA
Digitally signed by PAWAN KUMAR JHA
Date: 2026.11.03 10:45:06 +0530

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRCA No. 1826 of 2026

1. Ashish Nayak S/o Raju Nayak, Aged About 20 Years R/o 104 Gali No. 03, Vijay Nagar, Avanti Vihar Ward No. 28, Shankar Nagar, Raipur, District Raipur C.G.
2. Hemant Chole S/o Shesh Ram Chole, Aged About 27 Years R/o House No. 123 Gali No. 04, Near Hanuman Temple, Vijay Nagar, Labhandi, Raipur, District Raipur C.G.

... Applicants

versus

- State Of Chhattisgarh Through Station House Officer, Police Station - Telibandha, Raipur Commissionerate, District Raipur, C.G.

... Respondent

For Applicant	:	Mr. Asseem Bhagwat Gopal, Advocate
For Respondent-State	:	Mr. Anant Bajpai, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge

ORDER ON BOARD

02/11/2026

1. Applicants have preferred this application under Section 482 of Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail as they apprehend their arrest in connection with Crime No. 305/2026 registered at Police Station- Telibandha, District Raipur (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 191(1), 296, 333 and 351(2) of Bhartiya Nyaya Samhita, 2023.

2. Case of prosecution, in brief, is that on 18.07.2026, Yogesh Yeshne (complainant) who is employed as a Civil Engineer in M/s Ramraja Minerals and Construction Private Limited, lodged a complaint at Police Station- Telibandha, Raipur Commissionerate, District-Raipur (C.G.) alleging that on 15.07.2026 at approximately 12:00 noon, he visited the

yard situated at Ramraja House, VIP Road, Amlidih, Raipur, where machinery and other articles belonging to or kept by the concerned persons were present. The main accused Ayush Jain, Sudhir Chawla and approximately 10-15 other persons allegedly arrived at the premises with JCB machinery and other equipment, forcibly broke open the lock of the main gate and entered the premises. It is further alleged that the accused persons removed a tractor trolley, tractor, roller, paver machine, compressor and other articles from the premises and, upon objection by the complainant, they abused him in filthy language and threatened him with dire consequences. On the basis of the report, aforementioned crime was registered.

3. Learned counsel for the applicants would submit that applicants have been made accused only on the basis of memorandum statement of co-accused Sudhir Inder Kumar Chawla. There is no specific case against applicants of committing alleged offence. He submits that co-accused/ main accused Sudhir Inder Kumar Chawla has been extended benefit of anticipatory bail in MCRCA No. 1311 of 2026 vide order dated 13.08.2026. Hence, applicant may be enlarged on anticipatory bail.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the submission of counsel for applicants and would submit that there was participation of applicants in commission of alleged offence as reflecting from the statement of Sudhir Inder Kumar Chawla. He however does not dispute the submission of learned counsel for applicants that main accused Sudhir Inder Kumar Chawla has been enlarged on anticipatory bail by co-ordinate Bench of this Court.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the respective parties and also perused the documents enclosed along with bail application.

6. On due consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of allegation, submission of learned counsel for the parties, without

commenting anything on merits, I am inclined to allow this anticipatory bail application.

7. Accordingly, application is allowed and it is directed that in the event of arrest of applicants in connection with the crime in question (305/2026), they shall be released on anticipatory bail by the Officer arresting them on their executing a personal bond in the sum of ₹25,000/- each applicant with one surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the concerned arresting Officer. Applicants shall also abide by the following conditions:

(i) that the applicants shall make themselves available for interrogation before the Investigation Officer as and when required;

(ii) that the applicants shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

(iii) that the applicants shall not act, in any manner, which will be prejudicial to fair and expeditious trial; and

(iv) that the applicant shall appear before the trial Court on each and every date given to them by the said Court till disposal of the trial.

8. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

Sd/-

(**Parth Prateem Sahu**)
JUDGE

pwn