YOGESH TIWARI
Digitally signed by YOGESH TIWARI
Date: 2026.10.28 18:00:47 +0530

CGHC010421142026 2026:CGHC:45428

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCC No. 995 of 2026

Smt. Neha Sahu W/o Shri Pradeep Sahu Aged About 36 Years R/o 27 Kholi, Vikas Nagar, Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

... Applicant

versus

The Regional Transport Authority Indravati Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Nawa Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh

... Non-applicant

For Applicant	:	Mr. Sharda Prasad, Advocate
For Non-applicant	:	Mr. Shobhit Mishra, Dy. Govt. Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Amitendra Kishore Prasad, Judge

Order on Board

28.10.2026

1. This is an office reference.

2. This Court, vide order dated 02.09.2026 passed in WPC No. 4475/2026 (Smt. Neha Sahu v. Regional Transport Authority), dismissed the writ petition as withdrawn with liberty to the petitioner to approach the concerned State Transport Appellate Tribunal (STAT) within a period of 15 days from the date of receipt of a copy of the order. It was further directed that, in the event the

petitioner approached the concerned STAT within the aforesaid period, the Tribunal shall consider and decide the claim/grievance of the petitioner, in accordance with law, within a period of 45 days, after giving notice to all the objectors.

3. The State Transport Appellate Tribunal, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, vide its request letter dated 09.10.2026, has sought an extension of two months' time for compliance with the aforesaid order. It transpires from perusal of the PUD that the revision petition was filed by the petitioner before the Tribunal on 16.09.2026. Notice issued to respondent No. 1, the Regional Transport Authority, has been served, whereas notice issued to respondent No. 2, Ashish Sharma, has not yet been served, necessitating the issuance of a fresh notice. In view of the time required to ensure service of notice upon respondent No. 2 and to afford him an adequate opportunity of hearing, the Tribunal has expressed its inability to dispose of the matter within the stipulated period of 45 days and has, therefore, sought a further period of two months.

4. Considering the reasons assigned in the request letter, the prayer for extension of time appears to be reasonable. Accordingly, the State Transport Appellate Tribunal, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, is granted a further period of two months from today and to decide the revision petition, in accordance with law, after ensuring service of notice upon all the concerned parties and affording them an adequate opportunity of hearing.

5. It is made clear that no further extension of time shall be granted.

6. Accordingly, the MCC stands allowed in the aforesaid terms.

7. The Registry is directed to communicate a copy of this order to the State Transport Appellate Tribunal, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, for information and necessary compliance.

Sd/-
(Amitendra Kishore Prasad)
Judge

Yogesh