CGHC010421032026 2026:CGHC:45839

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRCA No. 1782 of 2026

- Ayush Singh Rajput S/o Ambrish Singh Aged About 31 Years R/o Dindayal Upadhyay Nagar Ward, Jagdalpur, District Bastar Chhattisgarh.

... Applicant

versus

- State Of Chhattisgarh Through- Station House Officer Arang, District Bastar Chhattisgarh.

... Respondent

PAWAN KUMAR JHA
Digitally signed by PAWAN KUMAR JHA
Date: 2026.10.30 10:38:54 +0530

For Applicant	:	Mr. Pushpendra Singh Baghel, Advocate
For Respondent-State	:	Mr. Amit Verma, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge

ORDER ON BOARD

29/10/2026

1. Applicant has preferred this application under Section 482 of Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail as he apprehends his arrest in connection with Crime No. 326/2026 registered at Police Station- Arang, District Raipur (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 115(2), 296, 3(5), 351(2) and 117(3) of Bhartiya Nyaya Samhita, 2023.

2. Case of prosecution, in brief, is that complainant, Vijay Kumar Sahu, lodged a report alleging that on 08.07.2026, at about 04:30 p.m., co-accused Nitin alias Mithilesh Sahu came to his house at Mahamaya Para, Arang, along with other persons, on the pretext of having snacks. It is alleged that Nitin Sahu entered into an altercation with the complainant's elder brother, Sonu Sahu, over a previous dispute and thereafter abused and threatened the complainant with dire

consequences. According to the prosecution, Nitin Sahu assaulted the complainant with a belt and by means of hands and fists, while the other persons accompanying him also abused and assaulted the complainant, causing injuries to his left eye and other parts of his body. The incident is stated to have been witnessed by Omkar Sahu and Lakhan Sahu, who allegedly intervened in the matter.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant would submit that the allegation of causing grievous injuries is against co-accused Nitin Sahu. Allegation against applicant is only of assault by means of hands and fists.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the submission of counsel for applicant and would submit that as per the F.I.R. all the accused persons participated in causing assault in which injured suffered grievous injuries on his left eye and another injury on scapula. There are two criminal antecedent of applicant of the year 2025, 2026.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the respective parties and also perused the documents enclosed along with bail application.

6. On due consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of allegation, submission of learned counsel for the parties, and further the manner in which the offence is committed which is reflecting from the F.I.R. as read-over by the State counsel, I do not find present to be a fit case to allow this bail application for grant of anticipatory bail.

7. Accordingly, this application is rejected.

Sd/-

(**Parth Prateem Sahu**)
JUDGE

pwn