CGHC010420432026 2026:CGHC:46026

RAVI SHANKAR MANDAVI Digitally signed by RAVI SHANKAR MANDAVI

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRCA No. 1805 of 2026

Vinay Kumar Adiley S/o Surendra Kumar Satnami Aged About 22 Years Village Bata, Durpa, Ps Kusmunda, District Korba (C.G.)

... Applicant

versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through Station House Officer, Police Station Kusmunda, District Korba (C.G.)

... Respondent

(Cause title is taken from CIS)

For Applicant	:	Mr. Aman Pandey, Advocate
For State/Respondent	:	Mr. Himanshu Yadu, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Justice Parth Prateem Sahu

Order on Board

30/10/2026

1. Applicant has preferred First bail application under Section 482 of Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail as he apprehends his arrest in connection with Crime No. 256/2026 registered at Police Station- Kusmunda, District Korba

(C.G.) for offence punishable under Sections 333, 296 and 115(2) of B.N.S.

2. Case of the prosecution, in brief, is that the Complainant filed a complaint at the Police Station alleging that, on 09.10.2026, while the Complainant was present in the office on duty, Vinay Kumar Adile, Adarsh Baghel and Shubham Baghel entered the office in collusion and demanded the list of employees provided by SECL from the HR. When HR Vivek Ranjan refused to hand over the documents, as they were official documents, the accused persons started abusing him in obscene language, snatched the tea cup from the hand of HR Vivek Ranjan, and threatened to kill him. It is further alleged that when the Complainant started making a video of the incident, all three accused persons came towards him, snatched his mobile phone, pushed him down from his chair, and, while abusing him, punched the Complainant with a fist.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant would submit that the applicant has not committed any offence as alleged and applicant was falsely implicated in the crime in question. Hence, the benefit of anticipatory bail may be extended to him.

4. Learned State counsel opposes the submission of learned counsel for applicant and would submit that as per the allegation applicant entered into the office of K.N.R. Construction Limited and abused the complainant with filthy language and also assaulted him for want of documents. He also submits that there

are 2 other criminal antecedents recorded in the name of applicant which is also mentioned in the bail application at Part – D, therefore, applicant is not entitled for the benefit of the anticipatory bail.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the respective parties and also perused the documents enclosed along with bail application.

6. Considering the entirety of the facts and circumstances of the case, submission of learned counsel for the respective parties, nature of allegation, allegation levelled against the applicant, further there are 2 other criminal antecedents recorded in the name of applicant, I do not find present to be a fit case to allow this anticipatory bail application.

7. Accordingly, this application filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 stands **dismissed**.

Sd/-

(Parth Prateem Sahu)

JUDGE

Ravi Mandavi