YOGESH TIWARI
Digitally signed by YOGESH TIWARI
Date: 2026.10.28 18:00:45 +0530

CGHC010419592026 2026:CGHC:45431

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPC No. 5393 of 2026

Babulal Bhaskar Son Of Bhaulal Bhaskar Aged About 61 Years Caste Satnami, Resident Of Village Dumarha, Post Office Khaprikala, Tahsil Lormi District Mungeli (C.G.)

... Petitioner

versus

1 - Executive Director Chhattisharh State Power Distribution Company Ltd. Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh

2 - The Executive Director Bilaspur Division Chhattisharh State Power Distribution Company Ltd. Bilaspur, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

3 - The Executive Engineer (O/m) Chhattisharh State Power Distribution Company Ltd. Mungeli, District Mungeli Chhattisgarh

4 - The Junior Engineer Chhattisgarh State Power Distribution Company Ltd. Lormi, District Mungeli Chhattisgarh

... Respondents

(Cause-title taken from Case Information System)

For Petitioner	**:**	Mr. C. K. Sahu, Advocate
For Respondents	**:**	Mr. Mayank Chandrakar, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Amitendra Kishore Prasad, Judge

Order on Board

28.10.2026

1. By filing the present petition, the petitioner has prayed for following relief(s):-

"(i) That, this Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to direct the respondent authorities to

pay compensation amount within stipulated time, in the interest of justice.

(ii) That, this Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to issue similar order as per Annexure P-4, in the interest of justice.

(iii) Any other relief which may be suitable in the facts and circumstances of the case, may also be granted, in the interest of justice."

2. The petitioner has moved a representation dated 20.04.2026 before respondent No. 4 seeking compensation on account of the death of his wife, Shakuntala @ Shakun Bhaskar, due to electrocution on 13.03.2026, in terms of the circular dated 10.09.2018 issued by respondent No. 1.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the wife of the petitioner died due to electrocution on 13.03.2026 and, despite the circular dated 10.09.2018 issued by the State Government providing for compensation of Rs. 4,00,000/- in cases of death due to electrocution, the claim of the petitioner has not been considered by the respondent authorities. He, therefore, prays that a direction be issued to the respondent authorities to consider and decide the claim of the petitioner for compensation at the earliest.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents opposes the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on merits.

5. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner may be permitted to submit a fresh representation

before the competent respondent authorities, and the said authorities may be directed to consider and decide the same expeditiously within a specified period.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the respective parties and perused the documents annexed with the record.

7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, as well as the submissions made by learned counsel for the respective parties, and having regard to the grievance raised by the petitioner seeking compensation on account of the death of his wife due to electrocution, without entering into the merits of the claim, this Court finds it appropriate to dispose of the writ petition by permitting the petitioner to submit a fresh representation before the competent respondent authorities along with the relevant documents in support of his claim. In turn, the respondent authorities shall consider and decide the representation of the petitioner, in accordance with law and the circular dated 10.09.2018, expeditiously, preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the copy of this order**.**

8. With the aforesaid observations and directions, the writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-

(Amitendra Kishore Prasad)
Judge

Yogesh