CGHC010419202026 2026:CGHC:45615

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRCA No. 1774 of 2026

Suman Kulhade W/o Rakesh Kulhade Aged About 23 Years Caste- Panika, R/o Mandalpara, Baikunthpur, Police Station And Tehsil Baikunthpur, District- Koriya (C.G.)

--- **Applicant**

versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through Station House Officer, Police Station Baikunthpur, District- Koriya (C.G.)

--- **Respondent**

(Cause title is taken from CIS system)

For Applicant : Mr. Bhaskar Payashi, Advocate
For Respondent/State : Mr. Avinash Singh, G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Parth Prateem Sahu

Order On Board

28/10/2026

BALRAM PRASAD DEWANGAN
Digitally signed by BALRAM PRASAD DEWANGAN

1. This is the first bail application filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') for grant of anticipatory bail to the applicant who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Crime No. 260/2026 registered at Police Station – Baikunthpur, District – Koriya (C.G.) under Sections 317 (2), 317 (4), 317 (5), 318 (2) and 318 (4) of BNS.

2. The prosecution case, in brief, is that an amount of Rs. 65 lakhs was received in the applicant's Bank of Maharashtra savings account

bearing No. 60515735412, which was opened on 02.12.2024. It is further alleged that the applicant's bank account was used as a mule account to receive money obtained by cheating various persons from the States of Maharashtra, Rajasthan, Tamil Nadu, Telangana, Andhra Pradesh, Haryana and Uttarakhand.

3. Learned counsel for applicant submits that applicant is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case. There is no involvement of the applicant in commission of offence. Applicant when wanted to open account, she took help of one Khushi Dewangan, who has cleverly got her name entered in the bank records as nominee and thereafter, has taken the cheque book and debit card. He submits that he is not disputing the fact about depositing of Rs.65.00 lakhs in the bank account of applicant, however, applicant has not withdrawn single penny from the said account. Withdrawal of the amount if any is by Khushi Dewangan.. There is no criminal antecedents against applicant. Hence, it is prayed that applicant may be extended the benefit of anticipatory bail.

4. Learned State counsel opposes the submission of learned counsel for applicant. He submits that according to the material available in the case diary bank account is recorded in the name of applicant and the amount of Rs.65.00 lakhs deposited has been withdrawn time to time from the said account. He also submits that this account is involved in cyber crimes in 8 States. He submits that in the aforementioned facts of the case, custodial interrogation of applicant is required in this case.

5. I have heard learned counsel for parties and perused the documents placed on record.

6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of allegation, manner in which the offence is alleged to have been committed, I do not find present to be a fit case to extend the benefit of anticipatory bail to the applicant.

7. Accordingly, the anticipatory bail application is rejected.

Sd/-
(Parth Prateem Sahu)
Judge

Balram