CGHC010418372026 2026:CGHC:45745

SOURABH BHILWAR
Digitally signed by SOURABH BHILWAR
Date: 2026.11.02 10:48:26 +0530

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 7763 of 2026

Akhilchand Singh S/o Chhotelal Singh Aged About 50 Years Posted As Assistant Manager (Under Suspension), Adim Jati Seva Sahakari, Samiti Maryadit, District Koriya. (C.G.) R/o Village Jilda P. S. Baikunthpur, District Koriya (C.G.).

... Petitioner

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through- The Secretary Cooperative Department Mantralay, Raipur District Raipur (C.G.).

2 – Registrar, Cooperative Societies, Chhattisgar, Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.).

3 - Assistant Commissioner, Cooperative Societies, Baikunthpur, District Koriya (C.G.).

4 - Assistant Registrar, Cooperative Societies, Baikunthpur, District Koriya (C.G.).

5 - Adim Jati Seva Sahakari Samiti Maryadit, Jilda, Through Its Authorized Officer Baikunthpur, District Koriya (C.G.).

... Respondents

(Cause title is taken from Case Information System)

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Shakti Raj Sinha, Advocate
For Respondents/ State	:	Mr. Ghansyam Kashyap, Dy. G.A.

(HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE BIBHU DATTA GURU)

Order on Board

29/10/2026

1. Grievance raised by way of this petition is with regard to non-revocation of order of suspension dated 15.05.2026 (Annexure P/1), whereby the petitioner was put under suspension by respondent No.4.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, while working as Assistant Manager in Adim Jati Seva Sahakari Samiti Maryadit, District Koriya (C.G.), was placed under suspension vide order dated 15.05.2026 (Annexure P/1) on the allegation of irregularities in the distribution and storage of chemical fertilizers. He submits that although the suspension order contemplated issuance of a charge-sheet separately, no charge-sheet has been issued to the petitioner till date. He further submits that the petitioner has already submitted a representations dated 05.09.2026, 15.09.2026 and 21.09.2026 (Annexure P-2 Colly.) seeking revocation of the suspension order, but the same is still pending consideration before the competent authority. He, therefore, prays that a direction be issued to the concerned authority to consider and decide the said representations within a stipulated period.

3. Learned State counsel submits that if the representations submitted by the petitioner are pending consideration, the same shall be examined and decided by the competent authority in

accordance with law, keeping in view the applicable circulars/ instructions of the State Government as well as the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, within a reasonable period.

4. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record.

5. Considering the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner and taking into account the submission made by learned State counsel, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent authorities, particularly respondent No.3, to consider and decide the petitioner's representations (Annexure P-2 Colly.) in accordance with law, on its own merits, expeditiously, preferably within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

6. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the claim of the petitioner and the competent authority shall take an independent decision strictly in accordance with law.

7. With the aforesaid observation and direction, the writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
(BIBHU DATTA GURU)
JUDGE

$. Bhilwar