CGHC010418082026 2026:CGHC:45762

NAFR

RAHUL JHA
Digitally signed by RAHUL JHA
Date: 2026.10.31 15:01:25 +0530

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 7783 of 2026

Smt. Sandhya Rani Denjare W/o Shri V. S. Singh, Aged About 44 Years Presently Postedat Government High School, Gopalinchuwa, Block Ambagarh Chowki, District Mohla - Manpur - Ambagarh Chowki C.G.

Petitioner(s)

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Its Secretary, Department Of School Education, Mantralay, Nava Raipur, Atal Nagar, Distt. Raipur, C.G.

2 - District Education Officer, Distt. Mohla Manpur Ambagarh Chowki C.G.

3 - Joint Director, Education Division, Opposite District Panchayat, G E Road, District Durg C.G.

4 - District Magistrate, Distt. Mohla Manpur Ambagarh Chowki C.G.

5 - Principal, Government Higher Secondary School, Amatola, Distt. Mohla Manpur Ambagarh Chowki C.G.

Respondent(s)

(Cause-title taken from CIS)

For Petitioner(s)	**:**	Mr. Vedant Bhelonge, Advocate
For Respondent(s)	**:**	Mr. S.S. Baghel, GA

(HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE BIBHU DATTA GURU)
Order on Board

29/10/2026

1. By way of the present writ petition, the petitioner has sought a direction to the respondent authorities to decide her representation dated

15.09.2026, submitted against the transfer order dated 18.08.2026 and the consequential relieving order issued on the same date.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner had earlier filed W.P.(S) No. 6539 of 2026, challenging the aforesaid transfer and relieving orders. The said writ petition was withdrawn with liberty to the petitioner to submit a representation before the appropriate authority. Pursuant thereto, the petitioner submitted a representation dated 15.09.2026, which is stated to be pending consideration. Hence, the present writ petition has been filed seeking a direction for consideration of the said representation.

3. Having considered the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner and the relief sought in the present writ petition, this Court finds that the petitioner had earlier approached this Court challenging the same transfer and relieving orders and, thereafter, voluntarily withdrew the said writ petition with liberty to submit a representation before the appropriate authority. The liberty so granted was confined to submitting a representation and did not extend to instituting another writ petition merely seeking a direction for consideration of such representation in respect of the same grievance.

4. In view of the aforesaid, this Court is of the considered opinion that the present writ petition, as framed, is not maintainable. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed as not maintainable.

Sd/-
(BIBHU DATTA GURU)
JUDGE

Rahul