CGHC010417332026 2026:CGHC:45611

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRCA No. 1780 of 2026

Dinesh Kumar Choudhary S/o Late Tilakram Choudhary Aged About 57 Years Occupation - Teacher And Director, Adarsh Gramya Bharti Vidya Mandir, Dhaurabhantha, Tahsil - Tamnar, District- Raigarh (C.G.), R/o Village And Post- Aamgaon, Tahsil- Tamnar, District - Raigarh (C.G.)

... Applicant (s)

versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Station House Officer, Police Station - Tamnar, District - Raigarh (C.G.)

... Respondent(s)

(Cause title is taken from CIS)

For Applicant	**:** Ms. Pragati Jaiswal, Advocate
For State/Respondent	**:** Ms. Sunita Manikpuri, Dy. A.G.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Parth Prateem Sahu

Order on Board

28/10/2026

1. Applicant has preferred First bail application under Section 482 of Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of anticipatory

Digitally signed by PRAVEEN KUMAR SINHA
Date: 2026.10.29 14:54:43 +0530

bail as he apprehends his arrest in connection with Crime No. 303/2025 registered at Police Station- Tamnar, District Raigarh (C.G.) for offence punishable under Sections 109 (1), 121 (1), 191 (3) , 191 (2), 132, 351 (2), 296, 126 (2), 190 and 49 of BNS.

2. Case of the prosecution, in brief, is that Anil Vishwakarma City Superintendent of Police posted as Cyber Cell Raigarh lodged a complaint that on 27.12.2025 at Village Libra that some protesters gathered against the public hearing of Coalmines at Garepelma Sector Tamnar along with the villagers and the farmers at the place of incident and were protesting, in the meantime some of the protesters became aggressive and the protest became violent. It has been further alleged that some of the villagers started abusing and assaulting the police officers and caused injuries to them. Applicant apprehends his arrest in connection with the aforementioned crime number.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is innocent, not involved in alleged commission of offences and he has been falsely implicated in the crime in question. Applicant is not named in the FIR. He submits that according to the prosecution, about 100’s of persons were present on the spot agitating/protesting, based upon which the report was lodged and the crime is registered. One of the co-accused Rupesh Patel similarly placed has been extended bail by Hon’ble Supreme Court in SLP (CRL.) No.9742 of 2026, hence, the applicant may

be extended the benefit of anticipatory bail. One other co-accused (Madhav Gupta) is enlarged on anticipatory bail in MCRCA No.1575 of 2026 vide order dated 01.10.2026.

4. Learned State counsel opposes the submission of learned counsel for applicant and submits that Anil Vishwakarma, City Superintendent of Police has lodged the report who also got injured in the incident. There is no any other criminal antecedent against the applicant except the present one.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the respective parties and also perused the documents enclosed along with bail application.

6. On due consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of allegation, submission of learned counsel for the parties, further considering that one of the co-accused Rupesh Patel who is similarly placed to that of the applicant has been extended bail by Hon'ble Supreme Court in SLP (CRL.) No.9742 of 2026 and another by this Court in MCRCA No.1575 of 2026,, without commenting anything on merits, I am inclined to allow this anticipatory bail application.

7. Accordingly, application is allowed and it is directed that in the event of arrest of applicant in connection with the aforesaid crime, he shall be released on anticipatory bail by the Officer arresting him on his executing a personal bond in the sum of Rs.25,000/- with one surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the concerned

arresting officer. Applicant shall also abide by the following conditions :-

> “(i) that the applicant shall remain available for interrogation before the Investigating Officer as and when required;
>
> (ii) the applicant shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer.”

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
(Parth Prateem Sahu)
JUDGE

Praveen