CGHC010415562026 2026:CGHC:45612

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRCA No. 1779 of 2026

Priyansh Mahant S/o Late Amardas Mahant Aged About 20 Years R/o Near Old College, P.S.- Champa, Dist.- Janjgir-Champa(C.G.)

... Applicant (s)

versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through P.S- Khamhardih, Dist.- Raipur (C.G.)

... Respondent(s)

For Applicant	:	Mr. Jai Prakash Shukla, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. Varunendra Mishra, Panel Lawyer

S.B.: Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge

Order On Board

28/10/2026

1. Applicant has filed this application under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short "*BNSS*") for grant of anticipatory bail as he is apprehending his arrest in connection with Crime No.234 of 2026 registered at Police Station- Khamhardih, Raipur Commissionerate, District- Raipur (CG) for offence punishable under Sections 79 of BNS and Section 67-A of IT Act.

Digitally signed by PRAVEEN KUMAR SINHA
Date: 2026.10.30 18:22:26 +0530

2. Case of the prosecution, in brief, is that on 10.07.2026 victim, a 19-year-old student, came to know from her relative that her nude photographs and video are uploaded on social media platforms. Upon discovering that the same had gone viral, she felt embarrassed and distressed. Thereafter, she lodged a written complaint against unknown person, pursuant to which an FIR bearing the aforementioned crime number was registered. During the course of investigation, the applicant was allegedly found to be involved in the aforementioned crime.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is innocent. Absolutely false and baseless allegations have been levelled against the applicant. Hence, he may be extended benefit of anticipatory bail to applicant.

4. On the other hand, learned counsel for the State opposes the submission of learned counsel for the applicant and submits that according to complainant, when she was minor and was having friendly relationship with the applicant, she had sent her that photographs to the mobile phone of applicant. Thereafter, she found that said photographs have been uploaded on many social media platforms. He submits that when information was given to Cyber Cell, photographs have been deleted from many websites but even then said photographs are in circulation in many other social media platform.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the

documents available on record.

6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, submission of learned counsel for the parties, nature of allegation against the applicant of uploading obscene photographs of complainant on many social medial platform, I do not find present to be a fit case to grant bail to the applicant.

7. The application is accordingly rejected.

Sd/-
(Parth Prateem Sahu)
Judge

Praveen