CGHC010415272026 2026:CGHC:45867

RAVI SHANKAR MANDAVI Digitally signed by RAVI SHANKAR MANDAVI

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRCA No. 1800 of 2026

Ajay Maheshwari S/o Shri Prithiram Maheshwari Aged About 37 Years R/o Village- Bandhapali, Police Station- Dabhra, District- Sakti (C.G.)

... Applicant

versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through Police Station Dabhra, District Sakti (C.G.).

... Respondent

(Cause title is taken from CIS)

For Applicant	:	Mr. Surfaraj Khan, Advocate
For State/Respondent	:	Mr. Varunendra Mishra, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Justice Parth Prateem Sahu

Order on Board

29/10/2026

1. Applicant has preferred First bail application under Section 482 of Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail as he apprehends his arrest in connection with Crime No. 24/2026 registered at Police Station- Dabhra, District Sakti (C.G.)

for offence punishable under Section 67(B) of the Information Technology Act, 2000.

2. Case of the prosecution, in brief, is that police of the Police Station- Dabhra received information from NCRB of uploading obscene videos (child pornography) from the territorial jurisdiction of Police Station. On investigation based on the information gathered from the service provider, mobile tower location and the mobile number running on said IP number is found to be owned by the applicant.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant would submit that the applicant has not committed any offence as alleged and applicant was falsely implicated in the crime in question. Hence, the benefit of anticipatory bail may be extended to him.

4. Learned State counsel opposes the submission of learned counsel for applicant and on query being made, he submits that on the basis information gathered from the service provider name of applicant has been implicated in the crime in question, therefore, applicant is actively involved in commission of crime and is not entitled for the benefit of the anticipatory bail.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the respective parties and also perused the documents enclosed along with bail application.

6. Considering the entirety of the facts and circumstances of the case, submission of learned counsel for the respective parties,

nature of allegation, allegation levelled against the applicant, further the manner in which the offence is stated to have been committed of uploading the obscene videos (child pornography), I do not find present to be a fit case to allow this anticipatory bail application.

7. Accordingly, this application filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 stands **dismissed**.

Sd/-
(Parth Prateem Sahu)
JUDGE

Ravi Mandavi