CGHC010414192026 2026:CGHC:46773

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRCA No. 1817 of 2026

Satish Kumar Kathle S/o Late Hemsing Kathle Aged About 32 Years R/o Village Rasouta, P. O. Kosmandi, Police Station Palari, District Balodabazar Bhatapara C. G. **... Applicant**

versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through Police Station, Telibandha, District Raipur C.G. **...Respondent**

For Applicant	**:** Mr. Pragalbha Sharma , Advocate.
For Respondent-State	**:** Ms. Prachi Singh, Panel Lawyer.

Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge
ORDER ON BOARD

04/11/2026

1. Applicant has preferred this application under Section 482 of Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail as he apprehends his arrest in connection with Crime No. 409/2026 registered at Police Station - Telibandha, District Raipur (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Section 69 of the BNS.

2. The case of the prosecution, in brief, is that, on the basis of a written complaint lodged by the victim, FIR No. 409/2026 was registered against the applicant for the offence punishable under Section 69 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023. It is alleged that the applicant deceitfully expressed his love for the victim, promised

to marry her and persuaded her to divorce her husband. It is further alleged that on 25.05.2022, the applicant forcibly established physical relations with the victim and continued to do so thereafter. The victim further alleged that on 02.02.2026, the applicant again forcibly established physical relations with her twice. When she subsequently asked the applicant to marry her, he refused and married another girl. Thus, it is alleged that the applicant repeatedly established physical relations with the victim on the false pretext and promise of marriage.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present crime. He further submits that the allegation against the applicant is that he established a physical relationship with the complainant on the pretext of marriage since 2022 and subsequently refused to marry her. The complainant is already a married lady, aged about 33 years, and is a practising advocate. Though the applicant does not admit the said allegations, it is submitted that even if the allegations regarding the relationship are considered, the same would be consensual in nature. The applicant is ready to cooperate with the investigation and has no other criminal antecedents. Hence, it is prayed that the applicant be enlarged on anticipatory bail.

4. Learned State counsel opposes the application, however, he does not dispute the factual submissions made by learned counsel for the applicant with regard to the age and marital status of the complainant.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the respective parties.

6. On due consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of allegation, submission of learned counsel for the parties, without commenting anything on merits, I am inclined to allow this anticipatory bail application.

7. Accordingly, application is allowed and it is directed that in the event of arrest of applicant in connection with the crime in question (409/2026), he shall be released on anticipatory bail by the Officer arresting him on his executing a personal bond in the sum of Rs.25,000/- with one surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the concerned arresting Officer. Applicant shall also abide by the following conditions:

(i) that the applicant shall make himself available for interrogation before the Investigation Officer as and when required;
(ii) that the applicant shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;
(iii) that the applicant shall not act, in any manner, which will be prejudicial to fair and expeditious trial;
(iv) that the applicant shall appear before the trial Court on each and every date given to him by the said Court till disposal of the trial.

8. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

Sd/-

(**Parth Prateem Sahu**)
JUDGE

vaibhav