CGHC010413242026 2026:CGHC:44981-DB

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPC No. 5334 of 2026

M/s Rajesh Kumar Agrawal A Registered Partnership Firm, Through Its Partner / Authorized Signatory Shri Rishabh Agrawal S/o Late Rajesh Agrawal, Aged About 34 Years, Having Its Place Of Business At Station Road, Kharsia, District Raigarh (C.G.)-496661.

MANPREET KAUR

Digitally signed by MANPREET KAUR
Date: 2026.10.27 11:07:45 +0530

... Petitioner(s)

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Public Works Department, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Nava Raipur Atal Nagar, Raipur (C.G.).

2 - The Engineer-In-Chief, Public Works Department, Nirman Bhawan, Sector-19, Nava Raipur Atal Nagar (C.G.) – 492002.

3 - The Chief Engineer (Central Tender Cell), Office Of The Engineer-In-Chief, P.W.D., Nirman Bhawan (First Floor), Sector -19, Nava Raipur Atal Nagar (C.G.) – 492002.

4 - The Executive Engineer, P.W.D. Division Raigarh, District Raigarh (C.G.).

5 - The Executive Engineer, P.W.D. Division Sakti, District Sakti (C.G.).

6 - Chhattisgarh Infotech Promotion Society (C.H.I.P.S), Through Its Chief Executive Officer 3rd Floor, State Data Centre Building, Opp. New Circuit House, Civil Lines, Raipur - 492001, C.G.

7 - M/s Mjunction Services Limited (E-Procurement System Integrator), Through Its Authorized Signatory, Office No. 202, 2nd Floor, Anjani Tower, Near Hotel Laal Bagh Inn, Telibandha, Raipur (C.G.)-492001

... Respondent(s)

For Petitioner(s)	**:**	Mr. Akhand Pratap, Advocate
For Respondent(s)	**:**	Mr. Shashank Thakur, Additional Advocate General and Mr. Rajesh Kumar Kesharwani, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Krushna Ram Mohapatra, Chief Justice

Hon'ble Shri Santosh Sharma, Judge

Order on Board

***Per Krushna Ram Mohapatra*, Chief Justice**

26.10.2026

1. Heard Mr. Akhand Pratap, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Mr. Shashank Thakur, learned Additional Advocate General for respondents/State as well as Mr. Rajesh Kumar Kesharwani, learned counsel for respondent No.6.

2. The writ petition has been filed with the following prayer:-

> *“(a) Direct Respondents to rectify the technical glitch relating to non-recognition of the Petitioner's DSC and FIPS 3.0 tokens within a time-bound schedule, and proceed with the upcoming tender only after ensuring proper functioning of the e-procurement portal.*
>
> *(b) direct Respondent No. 2 to consider and decide, by a reasoned and speaking order within such time as this Hon'ble Court may fix, the Petitioner's representation dated 08.10.2026*

(c) direct that the EMD of Rs. 3,45,210/- paid on 23.09.2026 be adjusted in the fresh / re-opened tender or, at the Petitioner's option, be refunded in full, and that it shall not be forfeited;

(F) direct the respondents to put in place an alternative mode of submission, OR refrain respondents from proceeding with the upcoming tender process until the technical glitches duly rectified and the proper functioning of the e-procurement portal is ensured.

(h) award the costs of this petition to the Petitioner; and

(i) grant such other and further relief as this Hon'ble Court may deem just and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case."

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the main grievance in the present writ petition was that the digital signature of the petitioner was not recognized. He further submits that, at present, the same has been recognized and the tender papers have already been opened. Hence, he submits that the writ petition has become infructuous.

4. Mr. Thakur, learned Additional Advocate General for respondents/State and Mr. Kesharwani, learned counsel for respondent No. 6, also acknowledge the same.

5. Accordingly, the writ petition is **disposed of** as **infructuous**.

Sd/-
(Santosh Sharma)
Judge

Sd/-
(Krushna Ram Mohapatra)
Chief Justice

Manpreet