ALFIZA
BAIG
Digitally signed
by ALFIZA BAIG
Date: 2026.10.29
14:53:06 +0530

CGHC010411532026 2026:CGHC:45342

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 10048 of 2026

1 - Amit Korram S/o Kanwal Korram Aged About 35 Years R/o Village Kaneri, Maneripara, P.S.And Tehsil Purur, Distt- Balod (C.G.)

2 - Rakesh Mandavi S/o Sudharam Mandavi Aged About 24 Years R/o Village Kaneri, Maneripara, P.S.And Tehsil Purur, Distt- Balod (C.G.)

... Applicants

Versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through P.S. Purur, Distt- Balod (C.G.)

... Non-applicant

For Applicants	:	Mr. Shobit Koshta, Advocate
For Non-applicant	:	Mr. Himanshu Yadu, Panel Lawyer

SB: Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge

ORDER ON BOARD

27/10/2026

1. This is the first bail application filed by the applicants under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail, as they have been arrested in connection with Crime No. 67/2026 registered at Police Station – Purur, District – Balod, Chhattisgarh for the offences punishable under Sections 115 (2), 121 (1), 126 (2), 132, 191 (2), 191 (3), 221, 262, 263, 296 & 351 (3) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.
2. As per the prosecution story, in brief, police authorities of Police Station - Purur, District- Balod went to the arrest the Applicant No. 1 Amit Kumar

Korram at his residence, who was accused in Crime No.- 61/26, PS- Purur, District- Balod, CG for offence u/s 20 (b) of NDPS. That, at the time of apprehending the Applicant No. 1, the Applicant No. 2 who is brother-in-law of Applicant No. 1 along with other co-accused persons caused impediment in the arrest of Applicant No. 1 and also, they abused and apprehended the police officials due to which, Assistant Sub Inspector namely, Vishwajeet Markam sustained injuries. The incident was reported to the concerned police station, based upon which aforementioned crime was registered and applicants were arrested on 14.07.2026.

3. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that applicant are innocent, they have been falsely implicated in the crime. They have not committed any offence as alleged. He submits that the allegation in the instant crime are that they have caused hindrance in the work of the police officials while they went to arrest the Applicant No.1 in his house in another crime bearing Crime No. 61/2026 registered at P.S. Purur, District- Balod for commission of offence under Section 20 (b) (ii) r/w. Section 8 of the NDPS Act, 1985. He further contended that the Applicant No. 1 has been enlarged on bail in the crime bearing Crime No. 61/2026 under Section 20 (b) (ii) r/w. Section 8 of the NDPS Act, 1985. Applicants are in jail since 14.07.2026, charge-sheet has been filed. Hence, they may be enlarged on bail.

4. On the other hand, learned State Counsel opposes the submission made by the counsel for applicant and would submit that the Applicant No. 2 have tried fled from the spot when, the police officials reached to arrest the Applicant No. 1, at that time, both the applicants along with their family members have caused hindrance in the arrest of Applicant No. 1 and also, have manhandled the police personnel in which, one of the

officials suffered injury. However, he do not dispute the submission of counsel for the applicant, that charge-sheet has been filed.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the respective parties and perused the documents filed along with the bail application.

6. Taking into consideration, the facts and circumstances of the case, submission of learned counsel for the parties, nature of the allegations, period of pre-trial detention, trial will take considerable time to conclude, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I am inclined to allow this bail application.

7. Accordingly, the bail application filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 is allowed and it is directed that applicants shall be released on bail upon their furnishing a personal bond in the sum of Rs. 25,000/- each with one surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of Trial Court concerned on the conditions that:

> *(a) The applicants shall file an undertaking to the effect that they shall not seek any adjournment on the dates fixed for evidence when the witnesses are present in court. In case of default of this condition, it shall be open for the trial court to treat it as abuse of liberty of bail and pass orders in accordance with law.*
>
> *(b) The applicants shall remain present before the trial court on each date fixed, either personally or through his counsel. In case of their absence, without sufficient cause, the trial court may proceed against them under Section 269 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.*
>
> *(c) In case, the applicants misuses the liberty of bail during trial and in order to secure their presence, proclamation under Section 84 of BNSS. is issued and the applicants fails to appear before the court on the date fixed in such proclamation, then, the trial court shall initiate proceedings against them, in accordance with law, under Section 209 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.*
>
> *(d) The applicants shall remain present, in person, before the trial court on the dates fixed for (i) opening of the case, (ii) framing of charge and (iii) recording of statement under Section 351 of BNSS. If in the opinion of the trial court absence of the applicant is deliberate or without sufficient cause, then it shall be open for the trial court to treat such default as abuse of liberty of bail and proceed against them in accordance with law.*

8. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information and compliance forthwith. Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
(Parth Prateem Sahu)
Judge

Dey