CGHC010411302026 2026:CGHC:45815

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRCA No. 1760 of 2026

- Aaryan Agrawal S/o Aman Agrawal, Aged About 18 Years R/o Ward No. 11, Patpariya Ward, Ambikapur, Police Station Gandhi Nagar, District Surguja C.G.

... Applicant

versus

PAWAN KUMAR JHA
Digitally signed by PAWAN KUMAR JHA
Date: 2026.10.30 10:38:53 +0530

- State Of Chhattisgarh Through Station House Officer, Police Station Gandhinagar, District Surguja C.G. (In Fir Police Station Is Mentioned As Ambikapur Dehat (Gandhinagar), District Surguja (Ambikapur)

... Respondent

For Applicant	:	Mr. Sushil Dubey, Advocate
For Respondent-State	:	Mr. H.A.P.S. Bhatia, Panel Lawyer
For Objector	:	Mr. Arpit Parakh, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge

ORDER ON BOARD

29/10/2026

1. Applicant has preferred this application under Section 482 of Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail as he apprehends his arrest in connection with Crime No. 564/2026 registered at Police Station- Ambikapur, Dehat (Gandhinagar), District Sarguja (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 296, 351(3), 115(2), 109, 3(5) of Bhartiya Nyaya Samhita, 2023 and Section 25, 27 of the Arms Act, 1959.

2. Case of prosecution, in brief, is that complainant Akash Goyal lodged a report at Police Station Gandhinagar, alleging that on 09.09.2026, at about 1:00 p.m., while he was travelling on his scooter to his shop, he saw Aadi Agrawal getting off his nephew from an auto-rickshaw. The child had sustained a minor impact from the scooter, following which Aadi

Agrawal and his family members arrived at the spot and took the injured child to the hospital. Subsequently, Aadi Agrawal with his associate Vansh Pandit came there, carrying a knife, and a bat and abused, assaulted the complainant with bat, hands and fists and threatened to kill him. Vansh Pandit took out the knife and attempted to stab the complainant in the abdomen which struck in his elbow. On the basis of the complaint, aforementioned crime was registered.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant would submit that the incident occurred when the injured dashed one Rihan Agrawal by his two wheeler, who was taken to hospital, thereafter applicant talked with the injured and that time during exchange of arguments it escalated and one of the co-accused has assaulted the injured by means of knife, as per the allegation. He contended that the injuries are simple in nature. Applicant is aged about 18 years and is pursuing his computer course. If the applicant is not extended the benefit of anticipatory bail, it will adversely affect his mental status if he is put behind the bars along with other offenders of serious offence.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the submission of counsel for applicant and would submit that as per allegation, applicant along with co-accused came to the injured and thereafter assaulted him. He also submits that there is one criminal antecedent against applicant.

5. Learned counsel for objector also oppose the submission of learned counsel for applicant and would make similar submission.

6. At this stage, learned counsel for applicant submits that the other antecedent is of quarrel of which proceeding was disposed off by the Lok Adalat.

7. I have heard learned counsel for the respective parties and also perused the documents enclosed along with bail application.

8. On due consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of allegation, submission of learned counsel for the parties, manner in which the incident stated to have been taken place and that there is no allegation against him of using knife for assault at the time of incident, without commenting anything on merits, I am inclined to allow this anticipatory bail application.

9. Accordingly, application is allowed and it is directed that in the event of arrest of applicant in connection with the crime in question (564/2026), he shall be released on anticipatory bail by the Officer arresting him on his executing a personal bond in the sum of ₹25,000/- with one surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the concerned arresting Officer. Applicant shall also abide by the following conditions:

(i) that the applicant shall make himself available for interrogation before the Investigation Officer as and when required;

(ii) that the applicant shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

(iii) that the applicant shall not act, in any manner, which will be prejudicial to fair and expeditious trial; and

(iv) that the applicant shall appear before the trial Court on each and every date given to him by the said Court till disposal of the trial.

10. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

Sd/-

(**Parth Prateem Sahu**)
JUDGE

pwn