CGHC010411292026 2026:CGHC:45463

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SIDDHANT TAMRAKAR
Digitally signed by SIDDHANT TAMRAKAR
Date: 2026.10.28 18:15:21 +0530

MCRC No. 10097 of 2026

- Amarpal Singh @ Ankur S/o Dharmedra Singh Bhadouriya Aged About 26 Years R/o Masuri, Police Station Pawel, District Bhind M.P.

... Applicant

versus

- State Of Chhattisgarh Through Station House Officer, P.S. City Kotwali Dhamtari District Dhamtari C.G.

... Non-Applicant

For Applicant : Ms. Shruti Shrivastava, Advocate
For State : Mr. Kanwaljeet Singh Saini, Dy. Government Advocate

---

Hon'ble Shri Justice Rakesh Mohan Pandey

Order on Board

28.10.2026

1. This is the second bail application filed under Section 483 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the applicant who has been arrested in connection with Crime No. 123/2025 registered at Police Station City Kotwali, Dhamtari, District Dhamtari (C.G.), for the offence punishable under Sections 331(8), 109(1), 312, 3(5) of BNS and Sections 25 & 27 of Arms Act.

2. Earlier, first bail application i.e. MCRC No. 2375 of 2026 was rejected on merits vide order dated 13.03.2026.

3. Case of prosecution in brief, is that on 13.05.2025, two masked persons entered Baradiya Jewellers, assaulted Bhanwar Lal Baradiya with a pistol,

and caused a bullet injury to his daughter. On such complaint, police registered offence and investigated the matter. During investigation, the present applicant was implicated on the basis of memorandum statement of co-accused Ajay Singh @ Golu Bhadouriya and subsequently arrested.

4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the present applicant has falsely been implicated in this case. She further submits that after rejection of first bail application, 18 witnesses have been examined out of 22 witnesses. She would further submit that the present applicant is in jail since 23.11.2025, charge sheet has been filed and trial may take some time for its conclusion. She would submit that this application may be allowed on the ground of delay in trial.

5. On the other hand, learned counsel appearing for the State would oppose the bail application. He would submit that first bail application i.e. MCRC No. 2375 of 2026 was rejected on merits on 13.03.2026. He would further submit that trial is at advance stage as out of 22 witnesses 18 have already been examined and ground of delay in trial is not available to the applicant. He would submit that instant application deserves to be rejected.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case diary.

7. Having considered facts and circumstances of the case, nature and gravity of allegations levelled against the applicant, fact that the applicant is alleged to have been involved in an incident wherein two masked persons assaulted Bhanwar Lal Baradiya with a pistol and caused a bullet injury to his daughter and air pistol along with 83 pellets were seized on instance of present applicant and further fact that first bail application, i.e., MCRC No. 2375 of 2026 was rejected on merits vide order dated 13.03.2026 and 18 out of 22

prosecution witnesses have been examined, therefore, trial is in progress and ground of delay in trial is not made out, therefore, I am not inclined to grant bail to the applicant.

8. Accordingly, the instant application for the grant of bail is hereby **rejected**.

Sd/-
(Rakesh Mohan Pandey)
JUDGE

$iddhant