CGHC010410452026 2026:CGHC:45019

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 7641 of 2026

Tripurari Singh Mandavi Son Of Late Shri Dhanesh Singh Mandavi, Aged About 61 Years Working As Headmaster, Middle School, Mahrakarka, Badegudra, Block - Katekalyan, Resident Of Ward No. 5, Gayatri Mandir Para, Dantewada, P. S. And District Dantewada C.G.

... Petitioner(s)

versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, School Education Departmnet, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Atal Nagar, Nawa Raipur, C.G.

JYOTI SHARMA
Digitally signed by JYOTI SHARMA
Date: 2026.10.27 11:02:10 +0530

2. The Director, Directorate Of Public Instructions, Indravati Bhawan, Nawa Raipur, Atal Nagar, District - Raipur C.G.

3. The District Education Officer, District - Dantewada C.G.

4. The Block Education Officer, Katekalyan, District Dantewada C.G.

5. The Joint Director, Education Division, Bastar, Jagdalpur, District Bastar C.G.

... Respondent(s)

(Cause title is taken from Case Information System)

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Bharat Rajput, Advocate
For Respondents/ State	:	Mr. S.S. Baghel, G.A.

(HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE BIBHU DATTA GURU)

Order on Board

26/10/2026

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner against the inaction on the part of the respondents in not considering the petitioner's as Trained Teacher.

2. According to the Counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner is trying to take the benefit which falls from the circular of the State Government dated 22.11.1979 which specifically envisages waving of undergoing the training process for declaring a teacher as a trained teacher in the event if the teacher has completed either 20 years of service or has crossed the age of 50 years.

3. According to the counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner herein has already crossed the age of 50 years and at the same time petitioner has completed more than 20 years of service. Thus, according to the petitioner, in view of the circular of the State Government, the petitioner has become entitled to be declared as trained teachers.

4. At this juncture, the limited prayer that counsel for the petitioner prays for is that he has already represented to the higher authorities in the department and he may be permitted to file a fresh representation to the higher authorities supported with all relevant documents and the same be concerned in accordance with rules, regulations and circulars governing the field and in case if the petitioner is found entitled for the benefit, the same be extended at the earliest.

5. The limited prayer sought for by the petitioner is not opposed by the State counsel, except for the fact that contentions raised by the petitioner need to be verified from the service records of the petitioner.

6. Given the said submissions by the counsel for the parties, the writ petition stands disposed of directing respondent no.1 & 2 to take an appropriate decision in case of the petitioner deciding as to whether the petitioner would be entitled for the exemption for being declared as Trained Teachers or not?

7. Let an appropriate decision be taken in this regard at the earliest preferably within a period of 60 days from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

8. The writ petition accordingly stands disposed of.

Sd/-
(BIBHU DATTA GURU)
JUDGE

Jyoti