CGHC010410332022 2026:CGHC:45862

NAFR

RAGHVENDRA JAT Digitally signed by RAGHVENDRA JAT

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPC No. 68 of 2023

1 - M/s Pooja Construction A Partnership Firm (Registered Under The Provisions Of Indian Partnership Act) Through Its Managing Parter Shri Manish Guru, S/o Late Shri Dr. Nandan Guru, Aged About 52 Years, Having Its Registered Office At Old Market Bacheli, District : Dantewada, Chhattisgarh

... Petitioner(s)

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Principal Secretary, Public Works Department, New Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh

2 - President/collector Jila Nirman Samiti South Bastar, District : Dantewada, Chhattisgarh

3 - Assistant Commissioner Tribal Development, South Bastar, District : Dantewada, Chhattisgarh

4 - Nodal Officer/executive Engineer Public Works Department, South Bastar, District : Dantewada, Chhattisgarh

... Respondent(s)

For Petitioner(s)	**:**	Mr. Anurag Jha, Advocate.
For Respondent(s)/State	**:**	Mr. Akhilesh Kumar, G.A.

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Amitendra Kishore Prasad

Order on Board

29/10/2026

1. By way of this petition, the petitioner has prayed for following reliefs:-

   "(i) to issue a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondent authorities to make a payment of admitted due to the tune of Rs.6,06,597/- to the petitioner firm along with interest to be charged as per prevailing commercial rate of interest for delay in such payment.

   (ii) Any other relief /reliefs which this Hon'ble court deems fit and proper under the facts and circumstances of the case, may be provided to the petitioner."

2. By way of present petition, the petitioner is not challenging any order but seeking a direction to the concerned Respondents Authorities to release the balance and remaining payment of Rs.6,06,597/- along with interest due and payable to the Petitioner Firm. Hence, this petition.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner contended that this writ petition may be disposed of permitting the petitioner to file fresh representation before respondent Nos.1 & 2 raising all grounds as raised in this writ petition and respondent Nos.1 & 2 be directed to consider and decide the same in accordance with law within an stipulated time frame.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents/State submits that he is having no objection to the limited prayer made by counsel for the petitioner.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record.

6. Considering facts of the case, submission of learned counsel for parties, this writ petition is disposed of permitting the petitioner to file fresh representation along with relevant documents before respondent Nos.1 & 2 raising all grounds as raised in this writ petition within '15 days' from the date of receipt of copy of this order. If such representation is filed by petitioner, respondent Nos.1 & 2 shall consider and decide the same in accordance with relevant rules/law/regulation within an outer limit of '60 days' from the date of receipt of copy of such representation and they finds if any undisputed amount, disburse the same to the petitioner, subject to verification.

7. With the aforesaid direction, the instant writ petition stands **disposed of.**

Sd/-

(Amitendra Kishore Prasad)
Judge

Raghu Jat