CGHC010409892026 2026:CGHC:45554

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRCA No. 1725 of 2026

Chiranjeevi Lahre S/o Laxmi Prasad Lahre, Aged About 26 Years R/o Village - Katghora, Tahsil - Katghora, District- Korba (C.G.) **... Applicant**

VAIBHAV SINGH
Digitally signed by VAIBHAV SINGH
Date: 2026.10.29 12:40:17 +0530

versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through - T I , Police Station- Katghora, District Korba (C.G.) **...Respondent**

For Applicant	:	Mr. Akath Kumar Yadav, Advocate.
For Respondent-State	:	Ms. Sharmila Singhai, Dy. A.G. with Ms. Prachi Singh, Panel Lawyer.

Hon'ble Shri Parth Prateem Sahu, Judge

ORDER ON BOARD

28/10/2026

1. Applicant has preferred this application under Section 482 of Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail as he apprehends his arrest in connection with Crime No. 401/2026 registered at Police Station - Katghora, District Korba (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 376(2)(n), 294 & 506 of the IPC.

2. The prosecution case, in brief, is that the victim lodged an FIR on 26.09.2026 at Police Station Katghora, alleging that she came into contact with the appellant at a college in Katghora in the year 2022, and gradually, a friendship developed between them, which

subsequently turned into a relationship. It is alleged that the appellant established physical relations with her on the false promise of marriage. However, when the victim asked the appellant about their marriage in the year 2026, he refused to marry her. Consequently, Crime No. 401/2026 was registered against the appellant for the offences punishable under Sections 376(2)(n), 294 and 506 of the IPC.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present crime. He further submits that the victim is a major and educated woman aged about 23 years, and the physical relationship between them, if any was consensual. As per allegations, applicant established physical relations with the victim since the year 2023. He further submits that the applicant has completed his studies and is preparing for competitive examinations. Therefore, learned counsel prays that the applicant be extended the benefit of anticipatory bail.

4. Learned State counsel opposes the application and submits that the applicant established physical relations with the victim on the pretext of marriage and later refused to marry her. However, she could not dispute the applicant's submission regarding the period of the relationship since the year 2023 and the fact that the victim was 23 years old on the date of filing of the complaint.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the respective parties.

6. On due consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of allegation, submission of learned counsel for the parties,

without commenting anything on merits, I am inclined to allow this anticipatory bail application.

7. Accordingly, application is allowed and it is directed that in the event of arrest of applicant in connection with the crime in question (401/2026), he shall be released on anticipatory bail by the Officer arresting him on his executing a personal bond in the sum of Rs.25,000/- with one surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the concerned arresting Officer. Applicant shall also abide by the following conditions:

(i) that the applicant shall make herself available for interrogation before the Investigation Officer as and when required;
(ii) that the applicant shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;
(iii) that the applicant shall not act, in any manner, which will be prejudicial to fair and expeditious trial;
(iv) that the applicant shall appear before the trial Court on each and every date given to her by the said Court till disposal of the trial.

8. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

Sd/-

(**Parth Prateem Sahu**)
JUDGE

vaibhav