CGHC010406712026 2026:CGHC:45021

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 7643 of 2026

Kashi Ram Darro S/o Shri Tiharu Ram Darro Aged About 37 Years Posted As Van Rakshak (Forest Guard), Sadhumichgaon, At Forest Range Durgukondal (Under Suspension) Bhanupratappur, District- Kanker (C.G.)

... Petitioner(s)

versus

JYOTI SHARMA
Digitally signed by JYOTI SHARMA
Date: 2026.10.27 11:02:14 +0530

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Principal Secretary, Department Of Forest, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Nava Raipur, District- Raipur (C.G.)

2. Principal Chief Conservator Of Forests (Head Of Forest Force) Chhattisgarh, Van Bhawan, Civil Lines, Raipur (C.G.)

3. Divisional Forest Officer Forest Division Purv (East) Bhanupratappur, District- North Bastar Kanker (C.G.)

4. Deputy Divisional Forest Officer Bhanupratappur, District- North Bastar Kanker (C.G.)

5. Forest Range Officer, Forest Range Durgukondal, District- Kanker (C.G.)

... Respondent(s)

For Petitioner	: Mr. Gajendra Prakash Sahu, Advocate
For Respondent/ State	: Mr.vivek Verma, G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Bibhu Datta Guru, Judge

Order on Board

26/10/2026

1. The present writ petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking following reliefs :-

> “10.1 That, this Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to set-aside the impugned order dated 02.06.2026 (Annexure P-1) & the respondents may be directed to reinstate the petitioner to his substantive post with full pay and consequential benefits.
>
> 10.2. Any appropriate writ, direction or order may also kindly be passed in favour of the petitioner, which this Hon'ble Court deems fit in the circumstances of the

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, while serving as Forest Guard (Van Rakshak) was placed under suspension vide order dated 02.06.2026 and has not been revoked till date. He further submits that the petitioner has already submitted a representation dated 25.09.2026 (Annexure P-5) for redressal of his grievances and the same is still pending consideration before the competent authority. He, therefore, prays that a direction be issued to the concerned authority to consider and decide the said representation within a stipulated period.

3. Learned State counsel submits that if the representation submitted by the petitioner is pending consideration, the same shall be examined and decided by the competent authority in accordance with law, keeping in view the applicable circulars/ instructions of the State Government as well as the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, within a reasonable period.

4. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record.

5. Considering the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this petition is disposed of with a direction to competent respondent to consider and decide the petitioner's representation dated 25.09.2026 in accordance with law, on its own merits, expeditiously, preferably within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

6. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the claim of the petitioner and the competent authority shall take an independent decision strictly in accordance with law.

7. With the aforesaid observation and direction, the writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-

(Bibhu Datta Guru)
JUDGE

Jyoti