CGHC010405452026 2026:CGHC:46957

NAFR

Digitally signed by RAMESH KUMAR VATTI
Date: 2026.11.05 18:31:49 +0530

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 9854 of 2026

*** -** Pushkar Nishad S/o. Darbar Nishad Aged About 21 Years R/o. House No. 1345, Ward No. 38, Bagichapara, Ramkund, Raipur, Thana- Azad Chowk, Raipur, District- Raipur (C.G.)

... Applicant

Versus

*** -** State Of Chhattisgarh Through Police Station, Azad Chowk Raipur, District- Raipur (C.G.)

... Non-Applicant

For Applicant	**:**	Mrs. Smita Jha, Advocate
For Non-Applicant	**:**	Mr. Arvind Dubey, Government Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Rakesh Mohan Pandey
Order on Board

05/11/2026

1. This is the first bail application filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the applicant, who has been arrested in connection with Crime No.310 of 2025 registered at Police Station Azad Chowk Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh for commission of offence punishable under Sections 296, 351(2), 115(2) & 118(1) of BNS.
2. As per case of prosecution, on 22.10.2025 a quarrel ensued between the present applicant and the complainant and subsequently present applicant assaulted the complainant with a knife on his cheek. On such

complaint, police registered the offence as stated above against the applicant.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the applicant would argue that the applicant is innocent person and he has falsely been implicated in the case. She would contend that the applicant has 01 live criminal antecedent and another case has already been disposed of. Thus, she would pray for grant of bail to the applicant.

4. On the other hand, learned Government Advocate appearing for the State/non-applicant would oppose. He would contend that according to MLC, injury sustained by the injured/complainant was grievous in nature. He would further contend that the applicant has 01 live criminal antecedent under BNS, therefore, his bail application deserves to be rejected.

5. I have have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case diary.

6. Having considered the fact that in 01 case registered in the year 2022, the applicant has earned acquittal, whereas another case registered in the year 2021 is pending consideration, charge-sheet has already been filed, the applicant is in jail since 05.05.2026, further trial is likely to take considerable time for its conclusion, without commenting anything on merits of the case, I am inclined to grant regular bail to the applicant. Accordingly, the bail application filed by the applicant is **allowed**.

7. It is directed that in the event of the applicant executing a personal bond for a sum of Rs. 50,000/- with one surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court, he shall be released on bail on the following conditions:-

I. He shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such fact to the Court;

II. He shall not act in any manner which will be prejudicial to a fair and expeditious trial;

III. He shall appear before the trial Court on each and every date given to him by the said Court till the disposal of the trial; and,

IV. He shall not involve himself in any offence of a similar nature in future.

8. The observation made in the course of this order is only for considering the case of the applicant on the application for the grant of regular bail. The concerned trial Court shall not be influenced or bound by any observation made in the course of this order.

9. Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
(Rakesh Mohan Pandey)
Judge

vatti