CGHC010403442026 2026:CGHC:45448

NAFR

NIRMALA RAO

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MCRC No. 9810 of 2026

1 - Sanam Kumar Sakat S/o Rajesh Sakat Aged About 27 Years R/o Village - Khudiya, Police Chauki- Khudiya, Police Station - Lormi, District - Mungeli (C.G.)

... Petitioner(s)

versus

1 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Station House Officer, Police Station - Lalpur, District - Mungeli (C.G.)

... Respondent(s)

For Applicant	**:**	Mr. Paras Mani Shrivas, Advocate
For Non-Applicant	**:**	Mr. Kanwaljeet Singh Saini, Dy. Government Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Rakesh Mohan Pandey

Order on Board

28/10/2026

1. The applicant has preferred this First Bail Application under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, for grant of regular bail, as he has been arrested in connection with Crime No. 103/2026 registered at Police Station Lalpur, District- Mungeli (C.G.) for the offences punishable under Sections 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2), and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (BNS).

2. The prosecution case, in brief, is that co-accused Deepak, S/o Omprakash, a resident of Haryana, allegedly obtained a forged domicile certificate of village Chandali, District Mungeli, Chhattisgarh, by submitting forged documents with the assistance of the present applicant and others. On the strength of the said certificate, co-accused Deepak, S/o Omprakash, allegedly secured employment in the Indo-Tibetan Border Police (ITBP). During verification, it came to light that no person named Deepak, S/o Omprakash, resided in village Chandali. During the course of the investigation, it was alleged that the applicant assisted in the verification process and allegedly received an amount of ₹10,000/- through PhonePe. On this premise, the present crime was registered and the applicant was arrested.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the applicant would submit that the applicant has falsely been implicated in the present case. He would further submit that the allegations against the applicant is that he had visited the Collector's Office on 25.06.2026 and a sum of ₹10,000/- was transferred by co-accused Deepak into the applicant's bank account. He would also submit that applicant has no criminal antecedents and is in jail since 28.06.2026. Further, charge-sheet has already been filed and trial is likely to take considerable time for its conclusion; thus, he prays that the applicant may be enlarged on regular bail.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel would oppose the bail application and submit that the applicant actively helped the co-accused Deepak, S/o. Omprakash in the preparation and verification of a forged domicile certificate used to secure public employment, and a sum of Rs.10,000/- was also transferred in the bank account of the applicant; thus, he is not entitled to grant of bail.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the case diary.

6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature and gravity of the allegations, the extent of role attributed to the applicant, and the fact that the applicant has no criminal antecedents; further

considering that the applicant is in jail since 28.06.2026 and the conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, without commenting on the merits of the case, this Court is inclined to release the applicant on regular bail. Accordingly, the bail application is **allowed**.

7. It is directed that in the event of the applicant executing a personal bond for a sum of Rs.50,000/- with one surety in the like sum to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court, he shall be released on bail, on following conditions :-

(i) he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such fact to the Court,

(ii) he shall not act in any manner which will be prejudicial to fair and expeditious trial, and

(iii) he shall appear before the trial Court on each and every date given to him by the said Court till disposal of the trial.

The observation made hereinabove is for the purpose of deciding this bail application only, the trial Court shall decide the case on its own merits.

Sd/-

Rakesh Mohan Pandey

JUDGE

Nimmi